arXiv:1701.04498v1 [math.DS] 17 Jan 2017

SYNCHRONIZATION IS FULL MEASURE FOR ALL α-DEFORMATIONS OF AN INFINITE CLASS OF CONTINUED FRACTION TRANSFORMATIONS

KARIANE CALTA, COR KRAAIKAMP, AND THOMAS A. SCHMIDT

Abstract. We study an infinite family of one-parameter deformations, so-called α-continued fractions, of interval maps associated to distinct triangle Fuchsian groups. In general for such one-parameter deformations, the function giving the entropy of the map indexed by α varies in a way directly related to whether or not the orbits of the endpoints of the map synchronize. For two cases of one-parameter deformations associated to the classical case of the modular group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the set of α for which synchronization occurs has been determined (see [CT, CIT], [KSS]).

Here, we explicitly determine the synchronization sets for each α-deformation in our infinite family. (In general, our Fuchsian groups are not subgroups of the modular group, and hence the tool of relating α-expansions back to regular continued fraction expansions is not available to us.) A curiosity here is that all of our synchronization sets can be described in terms of a single tree of words. In a paper in preparation, we identify the natural extensions of our maps, as well as the entropy functions associated to each deformation.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Main results 2
1.2. Motivation 3
1.3. The basics of our maps 3
1.4. Geometric perspective, well-definedness 4
1.5. Continued fraction perspective 5
1.6. Digits, cylinders, admissible words, ordering 5
1.6.1. Cylinders, notation for digit sequences, full cylinders 5
1.6.2. Admissibility and orders, definitions 7
1.6.3. Relating admissibility and orders 8
2. Dynamics in the case of $\alpha = 0$ for all signatures 9
3. Dynamics in the case of $\alpha = 1$ for all signatures 12
4. Orbit synchronization on the interval $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}, n \geq 3$ 13
4.1. Right cylinders and (potential) synchronization intervals 13
4.2. Tree of words and a partition 16
4.2.1. Palindromes 18
4.2.2. Derived words 20
4.2.3. Fullness of branches 21

Date: 16 January 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11K50, 37A10, 37A25, 37E05.

Key words and phrases. Continued fractions, α-continued fractions, matching, interval map.

The second and third named authors thank the Mathematics Department of Vassar College for support of a stimulating visit.

4.3. The complement of the potential synchronization intervals is a Cantor set 26
4.4. Potential synchronization intervals are intervals of synchronization 27
4.4.1. Left digits are admissible 27
4.5. There are no other points of synchronization 31
4.6. The non-synchronization set is of measure zero 32
5. The group element identities for the setting $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}$ 32
6. Synchronization for $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}, n \geq m$ 34
6.1. Synchronization intervals have Cantor set complement 35
6.2. Synchronization holds on a set of full measure 38
6.2.1. Right digits are admissible; synchronization occurs on each $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$ 39
6.2.2. There are no other points of synchronization 42
6.2.3. The non-synchronization set is of measure zero 42
7. Synchronization for $\gamma_{3,n} < \alpha < \epsilon_{3,n}$, $n \geq m$ 42
8. Group element identities for the setting $\alpha > \gamma_{3,n}$ 43
9. To be continued 45
References 45

1. Introduction

1.1. **Main results.** Associated to each of the infinite family of groups $G_{3,n}$ defined below in (1), we introduce the continued fraction type maps $T_{3,n,\alpha}$, defined in (2) below, parametrized by $\alpha \in [0,1]$. When $\alpha = 0$ this gives the (unaccelerated) maps treated in [CS]. We show that for each n, the set of those α such that the $T_{3,n,\alpha}$-orbits of the endpoints of the interval of definition, denoted $\ell_0(\alpha)$ and $r_0(\alpha)$ respectively, eventually agree has full Lebesgue measure. We call such agreeing of orbits *synchronization*. We give a full description of the set of α for which synchronization occurs. The following is a simply stated implication of this detailed description.

Theorem 1. *For $n \geq 3$, the set of $\alpha \in (0,1)$ such that there exists $i = i_\alpha, j = j_\alpha$ with $T^i_{3,n,\alpha}(\, r_0(\alpha)\,) = T^j_{3,n,\alpha}(\, \ell_0(\alpha)\,)$ is of full Lebesgue measure.*

Synchronization is key to determining planar models of natural extensions for many continued fraction type maps. See for example [CT, KSS]. Indeed, in a forthcoming paper we apply the results obtained in the present to give the natural extensions of the $T_{3,n,\alpha}$.

A key phenomenon of our setting is that for all $n \geq 3$ there are two *synchronization relations*, in the sense that for n fixed there is a large subinterval of the values of α along which *all* values where synchronization occurs is announced by a basic relation in the group $G_{3,n}$ being satisfied by the elements R, L such that $T^{i-1}_{3,n,\alpha}(\, r_0(\alpha)\,) = R \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ and $T^{j-1}_{3,n,\alpha}(\, \ell_0(\alpha)\,) = L \cdot \ell_0(\alpha)$. These relations, discovered by computational investigation and justified in Propositions 49 and 72, determine intervals along which synchronization occurs.

These *synchronization intervals* are in particular defined by admissibility of both the digits of the expansion of $T^{i-1}_{3,n,\alpha}(\, r_0(\alpha)\,)$ and of $T^{j-1}_{3,n,\alpha}(\, \ell_0(\alpha)\,)$. An appropriate endpoint of each interval is determined by one of the expansions no longer being admissible, the applicable synchronization relation allows us to determine the other expansion at that endpoint. This leads to the tree of words, $\mathcal{V}$, defined in Definition 18.

The intervals are indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \times \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the tree of words defined in Definition 18. (A proper subset of $\mathcal{V}$ is necessary when -1 is the indexing natural number.) That the complement in $[0,1]$ of the collection of the intervals of synchronization is a thin Cantor set is proven by use of the ergodicity result of [CS] for the setting of $\alpha = 0$.

The admissibility of the two expansions defining a synchronization interval is shown by induction, with the expansion related to the indexing pair being straightforward and the other expansion requiring a more delicate induction argument. A new phenomenon presents itself in the proofs of admissibility: the interval of admissibility of a candidate expansion of digits for some endpoint (in other terms, the corresponding higher rank cylinder) has an endpoint determined by the longest string of digits having a property that we name full-branched, see § 4.2.3.

The determination of the synchronization set of α proceeds by treating three partitioning subintervals of $(0, 1)$, after initial results in Sections 2, 3 describing the dynamics in the setting of $\alpha = 0, 1$ for all $n, m \geq 3$. The indexing is such that positive k correspond to the leftmost subinterval of α where we express the synchronization intervals in terms of right digits; the rightmost subinterval corresponds to $k < -1$ for this and the middle case of $k = 1$, the synchronization intervals are expressed in terms of left digits.

We expect that the case of $m > 3$ will be very similar, although the synchronization relations will involve longer words and thus some arguments will become awkwardly tedious. Our work raises the question of whether there is a simple characterization of when a one-parameter deformation of interval maps has a set of synchronization relations.

1.2. **Motivation.** The α-continued fractions of Nakada [N] are associated to the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, whose projective quotient is $G_{2,3}$. Nakada determined natural extensions and more for the setting of $\alpha \geq 1/2$. Kraaikamp [K] gave a more direct method for treating these values. Intermediate results were obtained in particular by Marmi-Cassa-Moussa [MCM]. The work of Luzzi-Marmi [LM] reinvigorated this area. Nakada-Natsui [NN] confirmed a numeric observation of [LM] by showing what in our terminology is that a certain synchronization relation holds for the Nakada α-continued fractions. It was left to Tiozzo et al [CIT] and, independently, [KSS] to show that the relation accounts for all synchronization. These authors also showed that the entropy function, assigning to α the entropy of the interval indexed by α, varies in a way previsely described in terms of the synchronization intervals. (Note that some authors refer to synchronization as *matching*.)

Partial results when the underlying groups being the Hecke triangle groups (thus, the $G_{2,q}, q \geq 3$) were given by [DKS, KSSm]. Tiozzo and others [CIT] treat a one-parameter deformation sitting inside a two-dimensional family of continued fractions with underlying group the modular group introduced by Katok and Ugarcovici [KU]. For both of the families associated to the modular group studied to date, Tiozzo and coauthors [CIT, CT2, BCIT] relate the entropy function to explicit subsets of the Mandelbrot set; see also Tiozzo's thesis, [T].

Our goal is to study deformation families of continued fractions defined over higher degree fields, and determine how the entropy function varies. The current work is the key step in this, in particular highlighting the central nature of synchronization relations. In work in preparation, we apply these results to give the entropy functions.

1.3. **The basics of our maps.** We use the groups considered in [CS]. Fix integers $n \geq m \geq 3$, and let $\mu = \mu_m = 2\cos\pi/m$, $\nu = \nu_n = 2\cos\pi/n$. Also let $t = \mu + \nu$ that is,

$$t := t_{m,n} = 2\cos\pi/m + 2\cos\pi/n.$$

Let $G_{m,n}$ be generated by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

and note that $C = AB$. We work projectively, hence B, C are of order n, m respectively while A is of infinite order. That is, $G_{m,n}$ is a Fuchsian triangle group of signature (m, n, ∞).

Fix $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and define

$$\mathbb{I}_{m,n,\alpha} := \mathbb{I}_\alpha = [\,(\alpha - 1)t, \alpha t\,).$$

Let

$$T_\alpha = T_{m,n,\alpha} : x \mapsto A^k C^l \cdot x, \tag{2}$$

where as usual, any 2×2 matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ acts on real numbers by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot x = \dfrac{ax + b}{cx + d}$, and

- l is minimal such that $C^l \cdot x \notin \mathbb{I}$
- $k = -\lfloor (C^l \cdot x)/t + 1 - \alpha \rfloor$.

We consider T_α as a map on the closed interval taking values in the half-open interval $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$,

$$T_\alpha : [\,(\alpha - 1)t, \alpha t\,] \to \mathbb{I}_\alpha\,.$$

1.4. **Geometric perspective, well-definedness.** The reader may well ask if there always does exist an l such that $C^l \cdot x \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. For the special cases of $\alpha = 0, 1$ see below; here we briefly indicate the setting for all other α. A quick study of the graph of the function $x \mapsto C \cdot x$ shows that this has horizontal asymptotes given by $y = \mu$, a pole at $x = 0$ and a zero at $x = 1/\mu$. Of course this is an increasing function. In fact, C is an elliptic matrix (that is, its trace is of absolute value less than 2) that fixes a point in the upper half-plane of real part $\mu/2$. It thus acts as a rotation about that fixed point. Indeed, it acts as a rotation on a hyperbolic m-gon; from the words above, this m-gon has consecutive vertices $1/\mu, 0, \infty, \mu$. (Note that when $m = 3$, we have $\mu = 1/\mu = 1$.) Therefore the remaining $m - 4$-vertices lie between $1/\mu$ and μ; let us denote the set of all vertices by $v_1 = \mu, v_2, \ldots, v_{m-3}, v_{m-2} = 1/\mu, v_{m-1} = 0, v_m = \infty$. Thus, C acts on the real line as $(-\infty, 0) \to (\mu, \infty) \to (v_2, \mu) \to (v_2, v_3) \to \cdots \to (1/\mu, v_{m-3}) \to (0, 1/\mu) \to (-\infty, 0)$. For $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, the map T_α is thus the composition of rotating by powers of C until $C^l \cdot x$ is no longer in $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$, and then shifting by applying the appropriate power of A to bring this image back into $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$.

Certainly the left endpoint of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$, being negative, is sent by C to a positive real number. We now briefly indicate why this value is greater than αt. It then follows that T_α on the left endpoint is given by some $A^{-k}C$ with $k > 0$. In fact, for all negative $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, we claim that $C \cdot x > x + t$. Elementary calculus shows that the graph of $x \mapsto C \cdot x$ has a tangent line with slope 1 of equation $y = x + \mu + 2$. Since $\nu < 2$, the tangent line lies below the line $y = x + t$. Since the map has a pole at $x = 0$, it easily follows that the claim holds. The claim implies that there is a leftmost subinterval sent outside of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ by C; we can partition $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ by applications of powers of C^{-1} to this leftmost subinterval (with the rightmost image subinterval restricted to its intersection with $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$). In particular, it follows that there always does indeed exist an l such that $C^l \cdot x \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$.

1.5. **Continued fraction perspective.** The main aim of this subsection is to assure the reader that the $T_{m,n,\alpha}$ indeed yield continued fractions, and therefore are reasonably called continued fraction-like maps.

Since

$$C \cdot x = \frac{-\mu x + 1}{-x} = \frac{-1}{x} + \mu,$$

we immediately find that

$$x = \frac{1}{\mu - C \cdot x}, \tag{3}$$

Now

$$C^2 \cdot x = C \cdot (C \cdot x) = \frac{-1}{C \cdot x} + \mu,$$

yielding that

$$C \cdot x = \frac{1}{\mu - C^2 \cdot x}. \tag{4}$$

Now (3) and (4) yield that

$$x = \frac{1}{\mu - \dfrac{1}{\mu - C^2 \cdot x}}.$$

After l times we find

$$x = \frac{1}{\mu - \dfrac{1}{\mu - \ddots - \dfrac{1}{\mu - C^l \cdot x}}}. \tag{5}$$

Since $A^k \cdot x = x + kt$, we see that $T_\alpha(x) = A^k \cdot (C^l \cdot x) = C^l \cdot x + kt$, yielding that $C^\ell \cdot x = T_\alpha(x) - kt$. Substituting this in (5) gives

$$x = \frac{1}{\mu - \dfrac{1}{\mu - \ddots - \dfrac{1}{\mu - \dfrac{1}{\mu + kt - T_\alpha(x)}}}}.$$

Continuing in this way we find a continued fraction expansion of x with partial quotients given by μ and $\mu + kt$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.6. **Digits, cylinders, admissible words, ordering.** When studying the dynamics of our maps, the orbits of the interval endpoints of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ are of utmost importance. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0 &= (\alpha - 1)t \quad \text{and } \ell_i = T_\alpha^i(\ell_0), \text{ for } i \geq 1\,, \\ r_0 &= \alpha t \quad \text{and } r_j = T_\alpha^j(r_0), \text{ for } j \geq 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

1.6.1. *Cylinders, notation for digit sequences, full cylinders.*

The *cylinders* for the map T_α are

$$\Delta(k,l) = \Delta_\alpha(k,l) := \{\, x \,|\, T_\alpha(x) = A^k C^l \cdot x \,\}\,.$$

See Figure 1 for a representation of some explicit cylinders. Note that since each of A, C are of positive determinant, T_α is an *increasing function* on each of its cylinders. We call (k,l) the α-digit of x if $x \in \Delta_\alpha(k,l)$. Define

$$\underline{b}^\alpha_{[1,\infty)} = (k_1,l_1)(k_2,l_2)\ldots$$



FIGURE 1. Schematic representation of cylinders for three values of α when $m = n = 3$. Top: $\alpha < \gamma_{3,3}$; middle $\alpha < \epsilon_{3,3}$; bottom: $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,3}$. For the bottom two, (k, l) denotes $\Delta_\alpha(k, l)$.

to be the sequence of digits for the orbit of $\ell_0 = (\alpha - 1)t$; that is, $\ell_0 \in \Delta(k_1, l_1)$, $\ell_1 \in \Delta(k_2, l_2)$, etc. Similarly, define $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$ as the word giving the digits of the orbit of r_0.

A cylinder $\Delta_\alpha(k, l)$ is called *full* if its image under T_α is all of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Since the action by C has a pole at $x = 0$, for all $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ there are full cylinders $\Delta_\alpha(k, 1)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ of arbitrarily large absolute value.

The only cylinder with $l = 1$ and $k < 0$ that could be non-full is the leftmost cylinder of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$, thus the cylinder of $\ell_0(\alpha)$. Let

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_\alpha = C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\alpha).$$

Note that since $\ell_0(\alpha) < 0$, one has $\mathfrak{b} < 1/\mu$. If $\mathfrak{b} \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, then the rightmost cylinder of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ has $l = 1, k > 0$ and may be non-full. If $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, then all cylinders of index $(k, l), l = 1, k > 0$ are full; indeed, (with possible exception of the rightmost cylinder) the cylinder of index $(k, 2)$ is full if and only if the cylinder of index $(k, 1)$ is (this, as C acts so as to send $\Delta_\alpha(k, l + 1)$ to $\Delta_\alpha(k, l)$). Continuing with analysis of this type shows that in general, the only candidates for non-full cylinders are those of index $\{(k, l), (k, l + 1), \ldots, (k, m - 1), (k', l')\}$ where (k, l) is the α-digit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ and (k', l') that of $r_0(\alpha)$. Note that the T_α image of $\Delta_\alpha(k, l + j)$ is exactly the



FIGURE 2. The unions of the various $\Delta_{3,3,\alpha}(k,l)$, see Subsection 1.6. Each $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ is given as a vertical fiber (of coordinate $x = \alpha t$ with $t = t_{3,3} = 2$), from the left endpoint at the bottom up to the right endpoint at the top of the fiber. For each α such that they exist, the $\Delta_\alpha(k,2)$ have limiting value as $|k| \to \infty$ of 1. Similarly, the $\Delta_\alpha(k,1)$ have limiting value as $|k| \to \infty$ of 0. Here $2\epsilon_{3,3} = G = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ and $2\gamma_{3,3} = g^2 = (G-1)^2$ correspond to the main division points in the interval of the parameter α.

T_α image of $\Delta_\alpha(k,l)$, which is $[\ell_1, r_0)$. (These are what one calls *right full* cylinders.) Also, the T_α image of $\Delta_\alpha(k',l')$ is $[\ell_0, r_1)$. (It is a *left full* cylinder.)

1.6.2. *Admissibility and orders, definitions.* A word U in the letters A, C is called *admissible* for a pair α and $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$ if $U = A^{k_u}C^{l_u}\cdots A^{k_1}C^{l_1}$ and for each j, with $1 \le j \le n$, one has $A^{k_j}C^{l_j}\cdots A^{k_1}C^{l_1}\cdot x = T_\alpha^j(x)$. Note that this is equivalent to having for each j both that (1) $A^{k_j}C^{l_j}\cdots A^{k_1}C^{l_1}\cdot x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$ and (2) l_j is minimal such that $C^{l_j}A^{k_{j-1}}C^{l_{j-1}}\cdots A^{k_1}C^{l_1} \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. We also simply say that such a word U is admissible for α if there exists an $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$ such that U is admissible for the pair α, x.

The α-alphabet is the set of possible single digits for $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, that is all (k,l) such that $\Delta_\alpha(k,l) \neq \emptyset$. The standard ordering of real numbers then induces an ordering of this alphabet: $(k,l) \prec (k',l')$ if $\Delta_\alpha(k,l)$ lies to the left of $\Delta_\alpha(k',l')$. (Confer Figures 1 and 2; in this second figure the order on each $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ is rather from bottom to top.)

The analysis for the setting of fullness of cylinders also yields that for $k > 0$, $\Delta_\alpha(-k,1)$ lies to the left of any $\Delta_\alpha(-k-j,1)$ with $j > 0$, as well as to the left of any $\Delta_\alpha(k',1)$, with $k' > 0$. Similarly, $\Delta_\alpha(k',1)$ lies to the left of any $\Delta_\alpha(k'-j,1), j < k'$. Now since C acts in an order preserving manner, we find that any $\Delta_\alpha(k,l)$ lies to the left of all $\Delta_\alpha(k,l+1)$. We thus find that for each α, the ordering on the α-alphabet is a restriction of the following order.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(1,2) \succ (2,2) \succ \cdots \succ (N,2) \succ \cdots \\
&- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - \\
&\succ \cdots \succ (-M,2) \succ \cdots \succ (-2,2) \succ (-1,2) \\
&- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - \\
&\succ (1,1) \succ (2,1) \succ \cdots \succ (N,1) \succ \cdots \\
&- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - \\
&\succ \cdots \succ (-M,1) \succ \cdots \succ (-2,1) \succ (-1,1).
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 1. The full order. Here $m = 3, n \geq 3$.

For each α, the α-alphabet is a (strict) subset of $(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \times \{1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$. We define the *full order* on $(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \times \{1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k,l) \prec (k',l') \text{ if and only if } &(i)\ l < l', \\
&\text{or } (ii)\ l = l' \text{ and one of } k < k' < 0,\ k < 0 < k',\ \text{or } 0 < k' < k.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}
$$

Thus, when $m = 3$ and $n \geq 3$, the full ordering is given in Table 1. This ordering extends to the set of all words (including infinite words) in the usual lexicographic manner.

The α-alphabet depends only on the first digits of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ and $r_0(\alpha)$, as we now prove.

Lemma 2. *Fix m, n, α. Then the α-alphabet depends only on the first digits of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ and $r_0(\alpha)$.*

More precisely, denote the cylinders of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ and $r_0(\alpha)$ by $(-k, 1), (k', l')$, respectively. Then the α-alphabet is

$$
\{(k'+j, l') \,|\, j \geq 0\} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq l \leq l'} \{(-k-j, l) \,|\, j \geq 0\} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq l < l'} \{(j, l) \,|\, j > 0\}.
$$

Proof. Since $\ell_0(\alpha) \in \Delta_\alpha(-k, 1)$, all indices corresponding to cylinders between $\Delta_\alpha(-k, 1)$ and $x = 0$ are certainly in the alphabet. These indices are $(-k-j, 1)$, with $j > 0$. The pre-images of these cylinders under powers of C are also cylinders of T_α, up to and including the l'^{th} power. If $l' = 1$, then all corresponding to cylinders between 0 and $\Delta_\alpha(k', 1)$ are in the alphabet. If $l' > 1$, then all $(j, 1)$ with $j > 0$ are present, and so are all preimages under powers of C are also cylinders of T_α, up to and including the $(l'-1)^{\text{st}}$ power. The cylinders between the pole of $C^{l'}$ and $\Delta_\alpha(k', l')$ have their indices in the language, and we have accounted for all possible indices. □

1.6.3. *Relating admissibility and orders.* If $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, then each of $T_\alpha(x), T_\alpha^2(x), \ldots$ is also in $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$. This is directly related to the notion of admissibility. (Experts will note that we could discuss the following in terms of cylinders of rank greater than one.)

Lemma 3. *Fix m, n, α. A word $A^{k_u} C^{l_u} \cdots A^{k_1} C^{l_1}$, with each (k_i, l_i) in the α-alphabet, is admissible for α if and only if*

$$
\underline{b}^\alpha_{[1, u-j+1]} \preceq (k_j, l_j) \cdots (k_u, l_u) \preceq \overline{b}^\alpha_{[1, u-j+1]}
$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq u$.

Proof. The forward direction is straightforward as we show first. By definition, admissibility implies that there is an $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$ whose α-digit sequence begins $(k_1, l_1), (k_2, l_2), \ldots, (k_u, l_u)$. Thus, each $A^{k_{j-1}} C^{l_{j-1}} \cdots A^{k_1} C^{l_1} \cdot x$ has α-digit sequence beginning $(k_j, l_j) \cdots (k_u, l_u)$, and each of these is in $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Since the endpoints of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$ correspond to $\underline{b}^\alpha_{[1,\infty)}$ and $\overline{b}^\alpha_{[1,\infty)}$, and the ordering in the language corresponds to the usual ordering of real numbers, the inequalities hold.

On the other hand, if a word U has its exponents defining letters in the α-alphabet all of which are indices of full cylinders, then it is easy to show that U is admissible for α. Indeed, given this full cylinder condition, we can choose any $x_u \in \Delta_\alpha(k_u, l_u)$ and then iteratively find some $x_i \in \Delta_\alpha(k_i, l_i)$ such that $A^{k_i} C^{k_i} \cdot x_i = x_{i+1}$. Then U is admissible for x_1.

Suppose that there is some positive number $f \leq u$ of non-full cylinder indices among the (k_i, l_i); let us enumerate these $(k_{i_1}, l_{i_1}), \ldots, (k_{i_f}, l_{i_f})$. Each of these is thus in $\{(k, l), (k, l+1), \ldots, (k, m-1), (k', l')\}$ where (k, l) is the α-digit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ and (k', l') that of $r_0(\alpha)$. Recall that $T_\alpha(\, \Delta_\alpha(k', l')\,) = [\ell_1, r_0)$ and $T_\alpha(\, \Delta_\alpha(k, l+j)\,) = [\ell_0, r_1)$ for each $(k, l+j)$ in the α-alphabet.

Since $\underline{b}^\alpha_{[1,u-i_f]} \preceq (k_{i_f}, l_{i_f}), (k_{i_f+1}, l_{i_f+1}), \ldots, (k_u, l_u) \preceq \overline{b}^\alpha_{[1,u-i_f]}$, canceling the respective common first digit, we find that either ℓ_1 begins with an α-digit sequence that is less than or equal to $(k_{i_f+1}, l_{i_f+1}), \ldots, (k_u, l_u)$, or else r_1 begins with a sequence that is greater than or equal to it. In both cases, there is thus a subinterval of points $x_{i_f} \in \Delta_\alpha(k_{i_f}, l_{i_f})$ whose α-digit sequence begins $(k_{i_f}, l_{i_f}), (k_{i_f+1}, l_{i_f+1}), \ldots, (k_u, l_u)$. We now continue iteratively, noting that if some of the i_j give consecutive integers, then we will need to cancel more than one digit at steps in this argument. □

The following two results are key tools for proving admissibility of digits by induction, see for example § 4.4.1.

Lemma 4. *Suppose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some α', α'', with $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha'' \leq 1$, one has $\underline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]} = \underline{b}^{\alpha''}_{[1,N]}$. Then $\underline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,N]} = \underline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]}$ for all $\alpha \in [\alpha', \alpha'']$.*

Proof. Fix some $\alpha \in (\alpha', \alpha'')$. Recall that for any $\beta \in [0, 1]$, the initial digit of $\ell_0(\beta)$ is $(-k, 1)$ for some $k > 0$. Since the real numbers $\ell_0(\beta)$ strictly increase with β, the initial digit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ is the same as that shared by $\ell_0(\alpha')$ and $\ell_0(\alpha'')$. Since also the $r_0(\beta)$ are increasing, Lemma 2 yields that the α-alphabet contains the α'-alphabet. In particular, each of the N digits in $\underline{d}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]}$ is contained in the α-alphabet.

Again from the increasing nature of the endpoints ℓ_0, r_0, we find for each $j \leq N$ both $\underline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,N-j+1]} \preceq \underline{b}^{\alpha''}_{[1,N-j+1]}$ and $\overline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N-j+1]} \preceq \overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,N-j+1]}$. Thus by Lemma 3, the admissibility of $\underline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]}$ for α', α'' implies the admissibility of this sequence for α. Finally, $\underline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,N]} = \underline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]}$ for otherwise we would contradict the increasing nature of ℓ_0 (with respect to one of α', α''). □

The following is proven *mutatis mutandi.*

Lemma 5. *Suppose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some α', α'', with $0 \leq \alpha' < \alpha'' \leq 1$, one has $\overline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]} = \overline{b}^{\alpha''}_{[1,N]}$. Then $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,N]} = \overline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,N]}$ for all $\alpha \in [\alpha', \alpha'']$.*

2. Dynamics in the case of $\alpha = 0$ for all signatures

Calta-Schmidt [CS] study the dynamics of what in our notation is $T_{3,n,\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 0$, and of its natural extension. We briefly generalize that work in this section (our $T(x)$ gives their $g(x)$ upon restricting to $m = 3$).



FIGURE 3. A trace of 20,000 consecutive orbit elements to show the natural extension of the determinant plus one map when $(m, n) = (5, 7)$ and $\alpha = 0$. Red points: initial orbit of vertex of x-coordinate $\ell_0 = -t$; green points: second piece of this orbit; magenta: third piece; blue: fourth and final, before returning to initial red vertex. Vertical lines: dotted at ℓ_1, and right ends of cylinders for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$. Cyan line segment: the anti-diagonal $y = -x$. Thus, here $\ell_0 < \ell_6 < \ell_{12} < \ell_{18} < \ell_1 < \ell_7 < \ell_{13} < \ell_{19} < \ell_2 < \ell_8 < \ell_{14} < \ell_{20} < \ell_3 < \ell_9 < \ell_{15} < \ell_{21} < \ell_4 < \ell_{10} < \ell_{16} < \ell_{22} < \ell_5 < \ell_{11} < \ell_{17}$.

Fix integers m, n (both greater than 2), let $\mathbb{I} := \mathbb{I}_{m,n,0}$, thus $\mathbb{I} = [-t, 0]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T := T_{m,n,0} : \mathbb{I} &\to \mathbb{I} \\ x &\mapsto A^{-k} C \cdot x \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = k(x)$ is the unique positive integer such that $T(x) \in \mathbb{I}$. Notice that $T(x) = -kt + 2\cos\pi/m - 1/x$. Let $\Delta_k := \Delta_{\alpha=0}(-k, 1)$. For $k \geq 2$ we have the full cylinders $\Delta_k = [\frac{1}{\mu-(k-1)t}, \frac{1}{\mu-kt})$; that is, T sends each surjectively onto $\mathbb{I}$. Setting $\nu = 2\cos\pi/n$, we have that $\Delta_1 = [-t, -1/\nu)$ and its image under T is the interval $[-\nu + 1/t, 0)$. The T-orbit of $x = -t$ is of central importance, thus let

$$\ell_j = T^j(-t) \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \ldots .$$

The following element is key to the study of this orbit and therefore to many arguments in this paper.

$$W = A^{-2}C\,(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}\left[A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\right]^{m-2}. \tag{7}$$

Since $A^{-1}C = B$ and $B^n = \mathrm{Id}$ (projectively),

$$\begin{aligned} W &= A^{-1}B\ B^{n-3}\left[A^{-1}B\,B^{n-2}\right]^{m-2} \\ &= A^{-1}B^{-2}\left[A^{-1}B^{-1}\right]^{m-2} \\ &= A^{-1}B^{-2}\left[BC^{-1}B^{-1}\right]^{m-2} \\ &= A^{-1}B^{-2}\,B\left[C^{-1}\right]^{m-2}B^{-1} \\ &= A^{-1}B^{-1}C^2B^{-1} \\ &= A^{-1}B^{-1}(AB)^2B^{-1} \\ &= A^{-1}B^{-1}A\,BA\,. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $A\cdot(-t) = 0$, and $B\cdot 0 = \infty$, while A fixes ∞, we certainly have that W fixes $x = -t$. Substituting $A^{-1}C$ for B gives the form that we will use several times below.

$$W = A^{-1}C^{-1}ACA\,. \tag{8}$$

We claim that the right hand side of (7) is the admissible word for the corresponding element in the T-orbit of $\ell_0 = -t$. That is, all ℓ_j lie in Δ_1 other then $\ell_{n-2+k(n-1)}$ for $0 \le k \le m-3$ and also $\ell_{2n-4+(m-3)(n-1)}$; all of these latter orbit entries lie in Δ_2. Furthermore, $\ell_{2n-4+(m-3)(n-1)}$ is the left endpoint of Δ_2, and thus $\ell_{2n-3+(m-3)(n-1)} = \ell_0$. To justify this, we show:

$$(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}\cdot\ell_0 < -1/\nu < (A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\cdot\ell_0\,; \tag{i}$$

$$[A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}]^{m-2}\cdot\ell_0 = -\nu\,; \tag{ii}$$

$$A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\cdot(-\nu) = \infty\,. \tag{iii}$$

Since $A^{-1}C\cdot x$ is increasing and has no pole in $\mathbb{I}$, (i) implies that $\ell_j = (A^{-1}C)^j\cdot\ell_0$ for $0 \le j \le n-2$ is correct. Likewise, (iii) implies that $x \mapsto A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\cdot x$ is increasing on $(-t,-\nu)$, and combined with (ii) that for each $j < m-2$ we have $\ell_0 < [A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}]^j\cdot\ell_0 < -\nu < -\nu + 1/t = \ell_1$. This in turn gives the correctness of the various ℓ_j corresponding to the subwords of $[A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}]^{m-2}$. That the remaining factors of (7) correspond to the T-orbit is easily argued, especially since the fact that W fixes $x = -t$ combines with (ii) to show that $[A^{-2}C\,(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{-1}\cdot\ell_0 = -\nu$.

Note that (iii) is immediate, as the pole of $A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}$ is that of $A^{-1}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2} = B^{-1}$, and certainly $B\cdot\infty = -\nu$. As well, $[A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}]^{m-2} = (A^{-1}B^{-1})^{m-2} = (A^{-1}B^{-1})^{-2}$, as $A^{-1}B^{-1}$ is a conjugate of C^{-1} and thus has order m. From this, we find that

$$[A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}]^{m-2}\cdot\ell_0 = (BA)^2\cdot(-t) = BC\cdot 0 = B\cdot\infty = -\nu\,,$$

and (ii) also holds. Finally, we have $\ell_0 = -t < -\nu < -\nu + 1/t = \ell_1 = B\cdot\ell_0$ and since $B\cdot -1/\nu = 0$, $B\cdot 0 = \infty$, $B\cdot\infty = -\nu$, we find that $\ell_0 < B^3\cdot(-1/\nu) < \ell_1$, and now (i) easily follows.

We thus have that the ordering of the T-orbit of ℓ_0 as real numbers is as given in Table 2. Note that the orbit elements contained in Δ_2 are found as final entry from each column.

We let Ω be the the union of $mn - m - n$ rectangles whose bases lie on the x-axis with endpoints being consecutive elements in the orbits of ℓ_0 under the real ordering, beginning with $[\ell_0, \ell_{n-1}]$, along with $[\ell_{(m-3)(n-1)+n-2}, 0]$, and whose heights we label $L_i, 1 \le i \le mn - m - n$, also in accordance with the real order of the bases.

$$\begin{array}{llll} \ell_0 & < \ell_{n-1} < \ell_{2n-2} & & < \cdots < \ell_{(m-2)(n-1)} \\ < \ell_1 & < \ell_n < \ell_{2n-1} & & < \cdots < \ell_{(m-2)(n-1)+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ < \ell_{n-3} & < \ell_{2n-2} < \ell_{3n-3} & & < \cdots < \ell_{(m-2)(n-1)+n-3} \\ < \ell_{n-2} & < \ell_{2n-3} < \ell_{3n-4} & < \cdots < \ell_{(m-3)(n-1)+n-2} & \end{array}$$

TABLE 2. The T-orbit of ℓ_0 ordered as real numbers, when $\alpha = 0$.

Set $L_1 = 1/t$; $L_{m-1+i} = (RA^{-1}CR^{-1}) \cdot L_i$ for any $1 \leq i < (m-1)(n-2)$; and, $L_i = (RA^{-2}CR^{-1}) \cdot L_{i-1+(n-2)(m-1)}$ for $2 \leq i \leq m-1$. Since these relations accord with $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{m,n,0}$, and $-t$ being fixed by W gives that $1/t$ is fixed by RWR^{-1}, we have that the left upper vertex Ω is $(-t, 1/t)$ and in fact the left upper vertex of the i^{th} rectangle is $(-1/L_i, L_i)$, thus showing that Ω has infinite μ-measure. We have seen that $\ell_{mn-m-n-1} = -1/\nu$, and hence find that the rightmost element of that orbit has value

$$\ell_{(m-3)(n-1)+n-2} = [(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}A^{-2}C]^{-1} \cdot (-1/\nu) = B^{-1}AB^3 \cdot (-1/\nu) = B^{-1}A \cdot (-\nu) = -1/t\,.$$

Therefore, the rightmost rectangle has height $L_{mn-m-n} = t$. One can show that $\mathcal{T}$ is bijective on Ω up to a set of measure zero. We will return to this point in our next paper.

Remark 6. In [CS], an acceleration of the $\alpha = 0$ interval map (when $m = 3$) is defined. This new interval map is shown to be ergodic with respect to a finite invariant measure (that is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure).

3. Dynamics in the case of $\alpha = 1$ for all signatures



FIGURE 4. Plots showing the domains of the natural extensions for $(m, n) = (3, 3)$ and $(m, n) = (4, 5)$ when $\alpha = 1$. Vertical lines marked at $x = \mu$ and $x = \mu + 1/t$ in both cases.

This case is dominated by

$$U = AC^{m-2}(AC^{-1})^{n-2}\,. \tag{9}$$

We now let the interval be $\mathbb{I} := \mathbb{I}_{m,n,\alpha=1} = [0, t)$.

Proposition 7. *For all $m, n \geq 3$, the map $T_{m,n,1}$ has*

1. *U as the admissible word for the orbit of $t = r_0(t)$;*
2. *exactly one non-full cylinder, $\Delta(1, n-1) = [\mu + 1/t, t]$;*

(3) *full cylinders of the form* $\Delta(k,l)$ *for all* $l \in \{1,\dots,m-2\}$ *and* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, *as well as* $\Delta(k,m-1)$ *for* $k>1$.

Proof. We first claim that all possible exponents of C are seen, thus that for each $l \in \{1,\dots,m-1\}$, there are non-empty cylinders $\Delta(k,l) = \Delta_1(k,l)$ and in fact for each l these are indexed by $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A first application of C sends all of $(0,1/\mu)$ to negative values, and in particular outside of $\mathbb{I}$. As well, since $1/\mu$ is sent to 0, these images are brought back into $\mathbb{I}$ by positive powers of A. Furthermore, this shows that each of the cylinders $\Delta(k,1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is full. Therefore, also now the cylinders $\Delta(k,l)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are full for all $1 \le l \le m-2$.

We turn attention to the case of $l = m-1$. In fact, there are full cylinders $\Delta(k,n-1)$ for all $k>1$ and the sole non-full cylinder is $\Delta(1,n-1)$, as we now briefly explain. Since $CA^{-1}\cdot 0 = \mu + 1/t < t$, one has that $\Delta(1,n-1) = [\mu+1/t,t]$. As well, since of course $A^2C\cdot(\mu+1/t) = A\cdot 0 = t$, the cylinders $\Delta(k,n-1)$ for all $k>1$ are all indeed full (and their union is $[\mu,\mu+1/t]$). It remains only to consider the orbit of t, which we know begins by $t \mapsto AC^{m-1}\cdot t$. We observe that $AC^{m-1} = AC^{-1} = AB^{-1}A^{-1}$, and is thus clearly an elliptic matrix of order n. We now translate by A so as to consider the the orbit of 0 under powers of B^{-1}. This elliptic matrix fixes a point of real part $-\nu/2$ and rotates a hyperbolic n-gon; one also easily verifies that $-\nu \mapsto \infty \mapsto 0 \mapsto -1/\nu$ is part of the orbit of the vertices of the n-gon. The predecessor of $-\nu$ is $B\cdot -\nu = -\nu + 1/\nu$. We translate back to $\mathbb{I}$ by A, and thus this predecessor corresponds to $\mu + 1/\nu$, a value that is visibly greater than $\mu + 1/t$. In conclusion, the $T_{m,n,1}$-orbit of t begins with $(AC^{m-1})^s\cdot t$ for $1 \le s \le n-2$. But, $(AC^{m-1})^{n-2}\cdot t = (CA^{-1})^2\cdot t = CA^{-1}C\cdot 0 = C\cdot\infty = \mu$. That is, the orbit of t reaches the right endpoint of the (full) cylinder $\Delta(2,m-1)$, and thus thereafter returns to t. □

4. Orbit synchronization on the interval $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}, n \ge 3$

We define $\gamma_{3,n}$ as the value of α such that

$$C^{-1}\cdot \ell_0(\gamma_{3,n}) = r_0(\gamma_{3,n}).$$

In particular, for all $\alpha \le \gamma_{3,n}$, the point $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha$ lies outside of $\mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Since $\ell_0(\alpha) < 0$ for all $\alpha < 1$, and $m = 3$, it follows that $0 < r_0(\gamma_{3,n}) < 1$. We define $\epsilon_{3,n}$ such that

$$A^{-1}C\cdot \ell_0(\epsilon_{3,n}) = r_0(\epsilon_{3,n}).$$

One finds that $\ell_1(\alpha) = A^{-1}C\cdot\ell_0(\alpha)$ holds for all $0 \le \alpha < \epsilon_{3,n}$. Elementary calculations show that for all $n \ge 3$, $r_0(\epsilon_{3,n}) \ge (1+\sqrt{5})/2$, and thus this equation holds in particular for all $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}$.

In this section we prove the following.

Theorem 8. *For* $m = 3$ *and* $n \ge m$, *the set of* $\alpha \in (0,\gamma_{3,n})$ *such that there exists* $i = i_\alpha, j = j_\alpha$ *with* $T^i_{3,n,\alpha}(\, r_0(\alpha)\,) = T^j_{3,n,\alpha}(\, \ell_0(\alpha)\,)$ *is of full measure.*

4.1. **Right cylinders and (potential) synchronization intervals.** Basic motivation for our approach to synchronization of the T_α-orbits of $r_0(\alpha)$ and $\ell_0(\alpha)$, with $\alpha < \gamma$, comes from the following. We will eventually show for this range of our parameters that synchronization depends on right and left digits being related by

$$C^{-1}AC = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 9. *Fix* $m = 3, n \ge 3$, $\alpha < \gamma$, *and* $i,j \in \mathbb{N}$. *Suppose that* $\ell_{i-1} = C^{-1}AC\cdot r_{j-1}$. *Then*

(1) $\ell_i = r_j$,
(2) $\ell_{i-1} \ge r_{j-1}$ *with equality if and only if both equal zero.*



FIGURE 5. The first image of the endpoints. In blue is the graph of $x \mapsto T_{3,3,\alpha}(x-t)$, with $x = \alpha t$; the blue curves thus give the values of $\ell_1(\alpha)$. In red that of $x \mapsto T_{3,3,\alpha}(x)$; the red curves thus give the values of $r_1(\alpha)$. (Here $t = t_{3,3} = 2$.) Gray vertical lines at $x = \gamma_{3,3}t = (G-1)^2, x = \epsilon_{3,3}t = G$, where $G = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$. The dotted cyan curve is the image of the left endpoint under C^{-1} (giving the values of $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha$) and thus for $\alpha t > \gamma_{3,3}$, above this curve a next digit begins with a C^2. Large dot has x-coordinate $\delta_{3,n}t$, see §6.

Proof. There is some $u \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, that $\ell_i = A^u C \cdot \ell_{i-1}$ (recall that since $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}$, the exponent l is always one). This thus equals $A^u C\, C^{-1}AC \cdot r_{j-1} = A^{u+1}C \cdot r_{j-1}$. In particular $A^{u+1}C \cdot r_{j-1} \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. We conclude that $r_j = A^{u+1}C \cdot r_{j-1}$. From this, we find $\ell_i = r_j$. Since $C^{-1}AC$ clearly fixes zero, see (10), it follows that $\ell_{i-1} = r_{j-1}$ holds if either is zero; otherwise, the fact that the exponent of A is greater when passing from r_{j-1} to r_j than from ℓ_{i-1} to ℓ_i (in light of the ordering of digits, (6)) shows that $\ell_{i-1} > r_{j-1}$. □

Definition 10. We say *synchronization* occurs at α if there exist i, j such that $r_j = \ell_i$. A *synchronization interval* is an interval of α values for each which synchronization holds with the same pair of indices i, j. (We will assume that at least for one α in the interval, both i, j are minimal.)

Example 11. Fix $n = 3$. Let $\zeta = (5-\sqrt{21})/2$ and $\eta = (-1+\sqrt{21})/10$. (Thus $\zeta = 0.20871\cdots$, $\eta = 0.35825\cdots$.) One finds that for all $\alpha \in [\zeta, \eta)$:

$$\overline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)} = 1, \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)} = -1, -2, -2, -1, \cdots$$

and furthermore, for all such α the group identity given in Lemma 47 implies $r_2(\alpha) = \ell_5(\alpha)$.

Note that here $AC \cdot r_0(\zeta) = \ell_0(\zeta)$. Confer Figure 5, in which $2\alpha = 2\zeta$ gives the intersection point with the line $y = x - 2$ of the first red branch to the left of $x = 2\,\gamma_{3,3}$.

Also in Figure 5, the visible intersection of this red branch with the blue branch (which corresponds to $\ell_1 = A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0$) marks a point of what one could call "accidental" synchronization. That is, for $\alpha = (2-\sqrt{2})/2 = 0.29289\cdots$, we have $r_1(\alpha) = \ell_1(\alpha)$. Of course, this implies that each of these is periodic. In particular, this and indeed any accidental synchronization occurs at an algebraic value of α.

We seek synchronization intervals of the form $[\zeta, \eta)$, where the endpoints are identified by $R \cdot r_0(\zeta) = r_0(\zeta)$ and $LA \cdot \ell_0(\eta) = r_0(\eta)$, for certain $R, L \in G_{3,n}$. Our synchronization intervals form a subset of full measure; to prove this, it will be very helpful to have the digits of the ζ, η. The following is key to finding these digits.

Lemma 12. *Fix $m = 3, n \geq 3$, an interval $[\zeta, \eta] \subseteq (0, \gamma_{3,n})$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that there are $R, L, L' \in G_{3,n}$ (none of which is the identity) such that*

(a) $L = C^{-1}ACR$,
(b) $R \cdot r_0 = r_{j-1}$ *and* $L' \cdot \ell_0 = \ell_{i-2}$, *for all* $\alpha \in [\zeta, \eta]$,
(c) $LA \cdot \ell_0 = \ell_{i-1}$ *for all* $\alpha \in [\zeta, \eta)$, *while* $LA \cdot \ell_0(\eta) = r_0(\eta)$,
(d) $R \cdot r_0(\zeta) = \ell_0(\zeta)$.

Then

(i) $\ell_{i-1}(\eta) = A^{-1}LA \cdot \ell_0(\eta) = \ell_0(\eta)$,
(ii) $r_j(\eta) = A^{k+1}C \cdot r_{j-1}(\eta) = r_1(\eta)$, *where k is such that* $A^kC \cdot r_0(\eta) = r_1(\eta)$
(iii) $A^{-2}CLA \cdot \ell_0(\zeta) = \ell_1(\zeta)$.

Proof. For any α, the identity (a) implies $LA \cdot \ell_0 = C^{-1}ACR \cdot r_0$.

Recall that for all α, $r_0(\alpha) \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Now set $\alpha = \eta$. Hypotheses (b,c) imply that $\ell_{i-1} = A^{-1}LA \cdot \ell_0 = \ell_0$. Now, if $r_1 = A^kC \cdot r_0$, then $r_1 = A^kCLA \cdot \ell_0$, which again by (a) gives $r_1 = A^kC\ C^{-1}ACR \cdot r_0 = A^{k+1}CR \cdot r_0$. Now (b) gives $A^{k+1}C \cdot r_{j-1} = r_1$.

Finally, (d) with $\ell_1 = A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0$ yield $\ell_1(\zeta) = A^{-1}CR \cdot r_0(\zeta)$. Hypothesis (a) now yields that $A^{-2}CLA \cdot \ell_0(\zeta) = \ell_1(\zeta)$. □



FIGURE 6. Determining the synchronization interval $[\zeta, \eta) = [\zeta_{k,v}, \eta_{k,v})$. Here, $m = 3, n = 3$, and $k = 1$, $v = 1$. The labels L, R mark respectively the curves $y = L_{1,1} \cdot r_0(\alpha), y = R_{1,1} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ where $\alpha = x/2 = x/t_{3,3}$. (See Definitions 14 and 24 for $R_{k,v}$ and $L_{k,v}$ in general.) Red gives the single branch of $y = r_1(\alpha)$ while blue colors the two branches of $y = \ell_4(\alpha)$ for $(5 - \sqrt{21})/2 < x < g^2$. The x-axis is shown as a dotted line.

We will be describing synchronization subintervals of $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ in terms of common initial portions of the digits of $r_0(\alpha)$.

Definition 13. (1) If the α-digits for some x are all of the form $(k_i, 1)$, it is convenient to suppress the notation indicating that the exponent of C is simply one. We refer then to *simplified digits*, and uniformly use a d instead of a b in notation referring to simplified

digits. Thus the statement $\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)} = k_1, k_2, \dots$ is equivalent to $\underline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)} = (k_1, 1)(k_2, 1)\dots$ and similarly for expressions involving $\overline{d}$. Of course, sequences of simplified digits are ordered by way of the order (6).

(2) Given $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and integers $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s$ and $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{s-1}$, let $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots d_{s-1} c_s$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the upper (simplified) *digit sequence* of k and v as

$$\overline{d}(k, v) = k^{c_1}, (k+1)^{d_1}, \cdots, (k+1)^{d_{s-1}}, k^{c_s}\,.$$

Let now σ be the left-shift. (For example, $\sigma(v) = d_1 c_2 d_2 \cdots d_{s-1} c_s$.)

For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\underline{b}_{[1,s]}$ denote the prefix of length s of $\underline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$, and similarly for $\overline{b}_{[1,s]}$.

Definition 14. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots d_{s-1} c_s$, we define the following.

(1) The length of $\overline{d}(k, v)$ is $\overline{S}(v) := |\,\overline{d}(k, v)\,| = c_s + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} (c_i + d_i)$. Notice that $\overline{S}(v)$ is indeed independent of k.

(2) The *α-cylinder* of k, v is

$$\mathscr{I}_{k,v} = \{\alpha \,|\, \overline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\overline{S}(k,v)]} = \overline{d}(k, v)\}\,.$$

That is, $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ is the set of all α, such that the initial simplified digits of $r_0(\alpha)$ are $k^{c_1}, (k+1)^{d_1} \cdots (k+1)^{d_{s-1}}, k^{c_s}$.

(3) The *right matrix* of k, v is

$$R_{k,v} = (A^k C)^{c_s}\ (A^{k+1} C)^{d_{s-1}} (A^k C)^{c_{s-1}} \cdots (A^{k+1} C)^{d_1} (A^k C)^{c_1}\,.$$

(4) The *potential synchronization interval* associated to k, v is $\mathscr{J}_{k,v} = [\zeta, \eta)$ where $\zeta = \zeta_{k,v}$ and $\eta = \eta_{k,v}$ are such that

$$R_{k,v} \cdot r_0(\zeta) = \ell_0(\zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad r_0(\eta) = C^{-1} A C R_v \cdot r_0(\eta)\,.$$

Note that if $\alpha \in \mathscr{J}_{k,v}$, then $R_{k,v} \cdot r_0(\alpha) = r_{\overline{S}(v)}(\alpha)$.

4.2. **Tree of words and a partition.** From Lemma 12, we have that

$$\overline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)} = k^{c_1}, (k+1)^{d_1}, \cdots, (k+1)^{d_{s-1}}, k^{c_s}, k+1, \cdots$$

and furthermore, this sequence continues with the digits of $r_1(\eta_{k,v})$. Thus, this sequence is periodic with pre-period $\overline{d}(k, v)$ and period $k+1, k^{c_1-1}, (k+1)^{d_1}, \cdots, (k+1)^{d_{s-1}}, k^{c_s}$. This period is expressible in terms of the word v', which we now define.

Definition 15. For each $s > 1$ and each word $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots c_{s-1} d_{s-1} c_s$, define

$$v' = \begin{cases} 1(c_1 - 1) d_1 c_2 \cdots c_{s-1} d_{s-1} c_s & \text{if} \quad c_1 \neq 1\,, \\ (d_1 + 1) c_2 \cdots c_{s-1} d_{s-1} c_s & \text{otherwise}\,. \end{cases}$$

We interpret this also to mean that when $v = c$ with $c > 1$ then $v' = 1(c-1)$, and when $v = 1$ then $v' = 1$.

As necessary, we extend the notion $\overline{d}(k, v)$ in the natural manner to include the setting of infinite words, and also extend the notion of $R_{k,v}$ to include more general words.

Lemma 16. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots c_{s-1} d_{s-1} c_s$. If $\eta_{k,v} \in \mathscr{I}_{k,v}$, then $\overline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k, v(v')^{\infty})$.*



FIGURE 7. Each vertex of the directed tree $\mathcal{V}$, see Definition 18, has countably infinite valency. A small portion of $\mathcal{V}$, with some values of $\mathscr{D}$ (of Definition 24), is indicated.

Proof. For simplicity, let $\eta = \eta_{k,v}$. From Lemma 12 (ii), the simplified digit of $r_0(\eta)$ following $\overline{d}(k,v)$ is $k+1$, and thereafter the simplified digits begin with the sequence of $r_1(\eta)$. This can be expressed as $\overline{d}^{\eta}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k,v)\,\overline{(k+1)\,\overline{d}(k,v)_{[2,\overline{S}]}}$.

When $v = c_1 = c$ we have $\overline{S} = c$ and we find $\overline{d}^{\eta}_{[1,\infty)} = k^c, \overline{(k+1), k^{c-1}}$ (when $c = 1$, we take this to mean $k, \overline{k+1}$.) For longer v, we must group the new occurrence of $k+1$. This grouping depends on whether $c_1 = 1$ or not. In either case, one indeed finds that $\overline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k, v(v')^{\infty})$. □

Definition 17. Set $\Theta_{-1}(c_1) = c_1 + 1$ and $\Theta_q(1) = 1q1$ for $q \geq 1$. For $c > 1$, set $\Theta_q(c) = c[1(c-1)]^q 1c$ for any $q \geq 0$. (To avoid double labeling and also to stay within our desired language, $\Theta_0(1)$ is undefined; note that $\Theta_1(1) = 111$, compare with $\Theta_0(c) = c1c$ for $c > 1$.)

We now recursively define values of the operators Θ_q. Suppose $v = \Theta_p(u) = uv''$ for some $p \geq 0$ and some suffix v''. Then define for any $q \geq 0$

$$\Theta_q(v) = v(v')^q v'' .$$

Definition 18. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the set of all words obtainable from $v = 1$ by finite sequences of applications of the various Θ_q. We call v the *parent* of each $\Theta_q(v)$, and also refer to $\Theta_q(v)$ as a *child* of v. See Figure 7 for a portion of this directed tree.

The following result gives the basic structure of the collection of potential synchronization intervals.

Theorem 19. *We have the following partition*

$$(0, \gamma_{3,n}) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{k,1} .$$

Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $v \in \mathcal{V}$, the following is a partition:

$$\mathscr{I}_{k,v} = \mathscr{J}_{k,v} \cup \bigcup_{q=q'}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_q(v)} ,$$

where $q' = 0$ unless $v = c_1$, in which case $q' = -1$.

We postpone the proof of this theorem until page 27.

When $n = 3$, the first statement of the theorem describes the partition given by the intervals of definition of the leftmost red branches of Figure 5. See also Figure 8.



FIGURE 8. A hint of the partition of a general α-cylinder $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$. That $\omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)} = \zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}$ holds for appropriate v is part of Lemma 35.

4.2.1. *Palindromes.* The notation $\overleftarrow{v}$ denotes the word formed by taking the letters of v in reverse order. Thus, v is a palindrome if and only if $v = \overleftarrow{v}$.

Proposition 20. *Suppose $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then:*

(1) *v is a word in at most three letters;*
(2) *v is a palindrome;*
(3) *if $v = \Theta_q(u)$ for some $q \geq 1$, then $v = uxu$ for some palindrome x.*
(4) *if u is the parent of v, then there is a palindrome y such that $v' = yu$;*
(5) *with this same y, one has $v'v'' = yv$;*
(6) *if further $v \neq c_1$, then there are palindromes a, z such that $v'' = az, y = z'a$.*

Proof. The first statement naturally has two cases: if $c_1 = 1$ then we claim that all $c_i = 1$ and all d_j are contained in $\{d_1 + 1, d_1\}$; if $c_1 > 1$ then all $d_j = 1$ and $c_i \in \{c_1, c_1 - 1\}$. We prove this by induction. Our bases cases are: $\Theta_{-1}(c_1) = c_1 + 1$ and $\Theta_q(1) = 1q1$ for $q \geq 1$; for $c > 1$, set $\Theta_q(c) = c[1(c-1)]^q 1c$ for any $q \geq 0$. The statement clearly holds here. Thereafter, v, v' are words in these small alphabets, and v'' is a subword of v hence every $\Theta_q(v)$ has the desired property.

Note that Statement (3) follows from (2). Also Statement (4) implies (5), as $(yu)v'' = y(uv'') = yv$. It remains to prove Statements (2), (4) and (6).

Beyond easily handled cases of short v, there are naturally three cases to consider.
Case 1. Suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(c)$ for some $h \geq 1$ and some $c > 1$. Induction gives $v = \Theta_0^h(c) = c(1\,c)^h$. This is obviously a palindrome, that is (2) holds. We find

$$v' = 1(c-1)(1\,c)^h = 1(c-1)1\ \ c(1\,c)^{h-1} = 1(c-1)1\ \Theta_0^{h-1}(c) = yu,$$

where $y = 1(c-1)1$ and $u = \Theta_0^{h-1}(c)$. But, here $v = \Theta_0(u)$ is the parent of v, and hence Statement (4) also holds in this case.

Set $z = c$ and $a = 1$. Then $y = z'a$ and $v'' = 1\,c = az$. Therefore, (6) also holds in this case.

Case 2. Suppose $v = \Theta_q(u)$ for some $q \geq 1$.

If $u = c_1$, all of (2), (4) and (6) are easily verified.

Assume now that (2), (4) and (6) hold for u, in the sense that $u = \mathfrak{Z}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}, u'' = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}$ with $u, \mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}$ all palindromes. Since $u = \overleftarrow{u}$, we have $u' = (\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})' = \mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z}$. Therefore,

$$v = \Theta_q(u) = u(u')^q u'' = u(u')^{q-1}u'(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}) = u(u')^{q-1}\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}) = u(u')^{q-1}\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\,u = u(\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})^{q-1}\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\,u.$$

Since $\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}$ are palindromes, we find that v is a palindrome; that is (2) holds.

Set $y = (\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})^q\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}$. This is clearly also a palindrome. Since

$$v' = (\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})^q\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\,u$$

(4) also holds.

Now, $v = uv''$ gives $v'' = (\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})^{q-1}\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\,u$. Let $a = (\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})^{q-1}\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}$ and $z = u$. Then $v'' = az$ and $y = z'a$. That is, (6) holds.

Case 3. Suppose that $v = \Theta_0(u)$ and $v \neq \Theta_0^h(c)$ for any $h \geq 1$ and any $c = c_1$. Assume that (2), (4) and (6) all hold for u in the sense described in the proof of the previous case.

We find

$$v = uu'' = (\mathfrak{Z}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z})\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}$$

and thus

$$\overleftarrow{v} = \overleftarrow{u''}\,\overleftarrow{u} = \overleftarrow{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}}\,u = \mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z}.$$

Thus (2) holds in this case.

Now,

$$v' = u'u'' = (\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{Z})\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{z} = (\mathfrak{z}'\mathfrak{a})u.$$

Thus (4) holds; since $v'' = u''$, (6) also holds. □

Remark 21. We thus have for $v \in \mathcal{V}$, other than those v of the form $v = c_1$,

$$\begin{cases} v &= uv'' = uaz \\ v' &= yu = z'au. \end{cases}$$

In the case of $v = \Theta_q(u)$ with $q \geq 1$, we have $z = u$. (Thus in the previous proposition, x is a.)

The child $\Theta_q(v)$ has length less than twice the length of v only when $q \in \{-1, 0\}$. The following addresses the setting of $q = 0$.

Lemma 22. *Suppose that u is a child of $Z \in \mathcal{V}$. Then the palindrome $\Theta_0(u)$ is characterized by the property of u being both a prefix and a suffix, and these subwords having exactly the subword Z in common.*

Proof. Let $v = \Theta_0(u)$. Since $v'' = u''$, we can write $u = Zaz$ and $v = uaz = Zazaz$ with each of Z, u, a, z palindromes. Now, $v = (Zaz)az = zaZaz$. We have $v = za\underbrace{Zaz}_{u} = \underbrace{zaZ}_{u}az$. Thus the property does indeed characterize $\Theta_0(u)$. □

Lemma 23. *If $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $v' \prec v''$.*



FIGURE 9. Taking derived words, $v \mapsto \mathscr{D}(v)$, respects parent-child relations. See Lemma 25.

Proof. One directly verifies the result for any $v = c_1$ and for any $v = \Theta_q(c_1)$. The remaining possibilities can be divided into two cases.

Case 1. When $v = \Theta_q(u)$ for some $q \geq 1$, we can write $v = uau$. We find $v' = (u'a)u = a\overleftarrow{u'}u$, and $v'' = au$. Writing $u = c_1 d_1 \cdots c_{s-1} d_{s-1} c_s$, from Definition 15 and that fact that u is a palindrome show that $\overleftarrow{u'}$ and u agree until the relationship is determined by $d_1 c_1 \succ (d_1 + 1)$ or $d_1 c_1 \succ d_1(c_1 - 1)\,1$, when $c_1 = 1$ or $c_1 > 1$ respectively.

Case 2. Suppose that $v = \Theta_0(u)$. Then as in Lemma 22 we can write $v = uaz = zau$, $v'' = az$. We have $v' = z'au = a\overleftarrow{z'}u$. As in the previous case, we find that $v' \prec v''$. □

4.2.2. *Derived words.* We will often argue by induction on the length of v. These arguments rely on the following map, $\mathscr{D}$, giving the *derived word* $\mathscr{D}(v)$ of v.

Definition 24. Let $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots d_{s-1} c_s$.

(i) If $c_1 = c > 1$, and v is such that $d_1 = 1$ and the set of $c_i, 1 \leq s$, is contained in the set of two letters $\{a = c_1, b = c_1 - 1\}$, express
$$v = (a\,1)^{e_1}(b\,1)^{f_1} \cdots (b\,1)^{f_{g-1}}(a\,1)^{e_g - 1}a.$$

(ii) if $c_1 = 1$, and v is such that the set of d_j, $1 \leq j < s$ is contained in the set of two letters $\{a = d_1, b = d_1 + 1\}$, express
$$v = (1\,a)^{e_1}(1\,b)^{f_1} \cdots (1\,b)^{f_{g-1}}(1\,a)^{e_g}1.$$

In both cases, let
$$\mathscr{D}(v) = e_1 f_1 \cdots f_{g-1} e_g\,.$$
Note that (the proof of) part (1) of Proposition 20 shows that $\mathscr{D}(v)$ is defined for each $v \in \mathcal{V}$. We call the various subwords $(c_1\,1)^{e_i}, (c_1 - 1\,1)^{f_j}, (1\,d_1)^{e_i}, (1\,d_1 + 1)^{f_j}$ *full blocks* for v.

Lemma 25. *The map $\mathscr{D}$ sends $\mathcal{V}$ to itself, preserving the parent-child relationship. That is, if $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and $v = \Theta_q(u)$, then there is a q' such that $\mathscr{D}(v) = \Theta_{q'}(\,\mathscr{D}(u)\,)$. Moreover, $q' = q$ unless $v = \Theta_0^h(c)$ for some $h \geq 1$.*

Proof. Just as in the proof of Proposition 20, there are easily verified base cases which we leave to the reader. We treat three main cases, see Figure 9.

Case 1. Suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(c)$ for some $h \geq 1$ and some $c > 1$. We have $v = c(1\,c)^h$. Certainly, $\mathscr{D}(v) = h + 1$, and $\mathscr{D}(\,\Theta_0^{h-1}(c)\,) = h$. We note that $\Theta_{-1}(h) = h + 1$, and of course that $v = \Theta_0(\,\Theta_0^{h-1}(c)\,)$. That is, the result holds in this case.

Case 2. Suppose $v = \Theta_q(u)$, with $q \geq 1$ for some $u \in \mathcal{V}$. Although this part of the proof is fairly straightforward, it has perforce a panoply of variables representing words; the reader may wish to consult (11), below, as a guide.

We can write $\mathscr{D}(v) = \mathscr{D}(\, u(u')^q u''\,) = \mathscr{D}(\, u(u')^{q-1} y\, u\,)$. Calculation, simply using the definition of $\mathscr{D}$, shows that $\mathscr{D}(\, u(u')^q u''\,)$ has prefix $\mathscr{D}(u)$. The definition also yields that the derived word of any palindrome is also a palindrome, thus here $\mathscr{D}(v)$ also has suffix $\mathscr{D}(u)$. Direct calculation shows that for any words u, x and any $p \geq 1$, $\mathscr{D}(u(u')^p x) = \mathscr{D}(u)\,\{\,[\mathscr{D}(u)]'\,\}^p X$ for some X. By Proposition 20, there is some palindrome Y such that $[\mathscr{D}(u)]' = Y\mathscr{D}(Z)$ where $\mathscr{D}(Z)$ is the parent of $\mathscr{D}(v)$. Therefore, $\mathscr{D}(v) = \mathscr{D}(\, u(u')^{q-1} y\, u\,) = \mathscr{D}(u)\,[Y\mathscr{D}(Z)]^{q-1} X\mathscr{D}(u)$ for some (new) X. Since $\mathscr{D}(v)$ is a palindrome, we have $X = Y$. Again by Proposition 20, $Y\mathscr{D}(u) = Y\mathscr{D}(Z)[\mathscr{D}(u)]'' = [\mathscr{D}(u)]'\,[\mathscr{D}(u)]''$. Therefore, $\mathscr{D}(v) = \mathscr{D}(u)\,\{\,[\mathscr{D}(u)]'\,\}^{q-1}\ [\mathscr{D}(u)]'\,[\mathscr{D}(u)]'' = \Theta_q(\,\mathscr{D}(u)\,)$.

As a summary, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}(u(u')^q u'') &= \mathscr{D}(u(u')^{q-1} u' u'') \\
&= \mathscr{D}(u(u')^{q-1} y u) \\
&= \mathscr{D}(u)\,\{[\mathscr{D}(u)]'\}^{q-1} X \mathscr{D}(u) \\
&= \mathscr{D}(u)\,[Y\mathscr{D}(Z)]^{q-1} X \mathscr{D}(u) \\
&= \mathscr{D}(u)\,[Y\mathscr{D}(Z)]^{q-1} Y \mathscr{D}(u) \\
&= \mathscr{D}(u)\,\{[\mathscr{D}(u)]'\}^{q-1} Y \mathscr{D}(Z)[\mathscr{D}(u)]'' \\
&= \mathscr{D}(u)\,\{[\mathscr{D}(u)]'\}^{q} [\mathscr{D}(u)]'' \\
&= \Theta_q(\,\mathscr{D}(u)\,).
\end{aligned}
\tag{11}
$$

Case 3. Suppose that $v = \Theta_0(u)$ and $v \neq \Theta_0^h(c)$ for any $h \geq 1$ and any $c = c_1$. Lemma 22 and the definition of $\mathscr{D}$ yield the result in this case. □

4.2.3. *Fullness of branches.* We aim to describe symbolically $T_{3,n,\alpha}$-orbits, and in particular to determine intervals in the parameter α where initial segments of such orbits share common digits. For any word determining sequences of digits, we must determine the endpoints of the parameter interval along which the word does describe *admissible* sequences of digits, see Figure 11. The following notion is key to this.

Definition 26. Let u a word with alternating letters c_i, d_j. (We allow prefixes of words v including those that end with some d_j.)

(1) If u begins with say c_1 and ends in some d_j, then powers of u are again alternating words in the letters c_i, d_j. In the case that $u = c_1 \cdots c_j$ begins with c_1 and ends in some c_j, then we define $u^2 = c_1 \cdots (c_j + c_1) \cdots c_j$ and similarly for higher powers.

(2) We say that u is *full branched* if for any prefix $u_{[1,\ell]}$ of u, the inequality $u^\infty \preceq u^\infty_{[1,\ell]}$ holds. We denote the longest prefix of u that is full branched by $\mathfrak{f}(u)$.

Note that an equivalent definition is: $\mathfrak{f}(u)$ is the longest prefix of u satisfying $(\,\mathfrak{f}(u)\,)^\infty = \min\{(\,u_{[1,\ell]}\,)^\infty \,:\, u_{[1,\ell]} \text{ is a prefix of } u\}$.

(3) We define $\omega_{k,u}$ as the α-value such that $R_{k,\mathfrak{f}(u)} \cdot r_0(\omega_{k,u}) = r_0(\omega_{k,u})$. That is, $r_0(\omega_{k,u})$ has the (T_α-inadmissible) simplified digit expansion $(\,\overline{d}(k, \mathfrak{f}(u))\,)^\infty$.



FIGURE 10. Naive calculations for $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_1(313)\,)$. From left to right, finding: $(31)^\infty \prec (31312)^\infty$; $(3131)^\infty \succ (313121)^\infty$; $(313121)^\infty \prec (31312131)^\infty$. The beginning of second copies of words are marked with a dot.

Example 27. (1) Recall that the leftmost red branches in Figure 5 are branches of $r_1(\alpha)$ as a function of $r_0(\alpha)$, with $\alpha < \gamma_{3,3}$. These branches agree with portions of the graphs $y = A^kC \cdot x$ and $x = r_0(\alpha)$. For each k, the corresponding branch intersects with $y = x - t$ on the left, and $y = x$ on right. The leftmost of these two points is $x = r_0(\zeta_{k,1})$. Certainly for every α between such a set of intersection points, we have $r_0(\alpha) = k, \cdots$. On the other hand, by definition $\mathfrak{f}(1) = 1$, and thus $r_0(\omega_{k,1})$ is the fixed point of $A^kC \cdot x$. That is, $r_0(\omega_{k,1})$ is our right intersection point. Furthermore, for all $\alpha \in [\zeta_{k,1}, \omega_{k,1})$ we have $y = r_1(\alpha)$ is given by $r_1(\alpha) = A^kC \cdot r_0(\alpha)$. That is, the first simplified digit of $r_0(\alpha)$ is k. In other words, $\mathscr{I}_{k,1} = [\zeta_{k,1}, \omega_{k,1})$.

Being a fixed point, $r_0(\omega_{k,1}) = k, k, \ldots$, is purely periodic with period k. Note however that this is *not* a T_α-admissible expansion, as were it so then r_1 for this value of α would equal r_0. But, $r_0(\alpha) \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$!

(2) Now suppose $c > 1$. By definition, $\mathfrak{f}(c) = c$, that is $v = c_1$ is full branched. Therefore, for each k, $\omega_{k,c}$ is such that $r_0(\omega_{k,c})$ is the fixed point of $(A^kC)^c$. Of course, $(A^kC)^c$ has the same fixed point as A^kC. That is, $\omega_{k,c} = \omega_{k,1}$. Related to this, there are values of α sufficiently close to $\omega_{k,1}$ so that for each of these α, the first c simplified digits of $r_0(\alpha)$ are all equal to k. Equivalently, $r_0(\alpha), r_1(\alpha), \ldots, r_c(\alpha)$ are all in $\Delta_\alpha(k, 1)$. And, this is also to say that $\overline{d}(k, c)$ gives the first c simplified digits of $r_0(\alpha)$. The reader should easily find α in the complement of $\mathscr{I}_{k,c}$ inside of $\mathscr{I}_{k,1}$.

(3) Consider $v = 111$. We consider each prefix in turn. Of course $u = 1$ is full-branched. We next compare $(11)^\infty = 11{\cdot}11{\cdot}11\cdot\cdots$ with 1^∞; by our convention for powers, we certainly find that $(11)^\infty \prec 1^\infty$. We next compare $(11)^\infty$ with $(111)^\infty = 111 \cdot 111 \cdot 111 \cdot \cdots = 121212\cdots$; certainly $(11)^\infty \prec (111)^\infty$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{f}(111) = 11$. Compare this with Figure 11.

(4) Consider $v = \Theta_1(313) = 313(1213)13$. Arguing just as for the previous case, we find that $(31)^\infty$ is the minimal element of $\{3^\infty, (31)^\infty, (313)^\infty\}$. Since $3131 = (31)^2$, we certainly have that $(31)^\infty = (3131)^\infty$, and thus this latter is our current candidate for the maximal length full branched prefix of v. We compare it with $(31312)^\infty$. We find that these infinite words agree in their first four letters, but in the fifth (a "c_j"-position) they differ. Confer Figure 10. Since $31313 \prec 31315$, we find that $(3131)^\infty \prec (31315)^\infty$. We thus now compare $(3131)^\infty$ with $(313121)^\infty$. Confer Figure 10. we find that $(313121)^\infty$ is the smaller. One easily sees that is it also smaller than $(3131213)^\infty$. We now compare it with $(31312131)^\infty$. See Figure 10. Again, $(313121)^\infty$ is the smaller. One easily sees that also $(313121)^\infty \prec v^\infty$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{f}(v) = (313121)^\infty$.

The following shows that certain phenomena illustrated in the above examples hold in general.

Lemma 28. *If $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is of length greater than one, then $\mathfrak{f}(v)$ has even length. In this case, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a simplified digit expansion for $r_0(\omega_{k,v})$ is $\overline{d}(k, (\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^\infty)$.*

Proof. Our convention for powers of words shows that any prefix u of odd length greater than one, thus having initial letter c_1 and final letter some c_i, has its second power including the letter $c_j + c_1$. Already u^2 is larger than the prefix $(c_1 d_1)^\infty$. The first statement thus holds.

For any word $u = c_1 d_1 \cdots d_j$, we have

$$\overline{d}(k, u^\infty) = [k^{c_1}, (k+1)^{d_1}, \cdots, (k+1)^{d_j}]^\infty = \overline{d}(k, u)^\infty.$$

Thus, since $\omega_{k,v}$ is defined to be $(\,\overline{d}(k, \mathfrak{f}(u))\,)^\infty$, the result holds. □



FIGURE 11. A non-full branch. Here $n = m = 3$, $v = 111$ and $k = 1$; we have that $\omega_{1,111}$ is determined by the fixed point of $R_{1,11}$. The labels L, R mark respectively the curves $y = L_{1,111} \cdot r_0(\alpha), y = R_{1,111} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ where $\alpha = x/2 = x/t_{3,3}$. Red gives branches of $y = r_3(\alpha)$, while blue colors the two branches of $y = \ell_9(\alpha)$; Magenta gives the branches of $y = r_2(\alpha)$. The left portion has $2(9 - \sqrt{15})/7 < x < (11 - 6\sqrt{2})/7$. The right "zooms in" to $0.35910 < x < 0.35915$. (This interval lies between the vertical gray lines in both portions.) The x-axis is shown as a dotted line. Black curves give $y = x$ and $y = x - 2$. (This example is in fact the longest interval $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ where $R_{k,v}$ is not full for any $n \geq 3, k \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathcal{V}$.)

The next result indicates the utility of the notion of full branchedness.

Lemma 29. *Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The α-cylinder set $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ is a subset of $[\zeta_{k,v}, \omega_{k,v})$.*

Proof. The set of α such that $\overline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\overline{S}(k,v)]} = \overline{d}(k, v)$ is contained in the interval $[\zeta, \omega)$ such that $R_{k,v} \cdot r_0(\zeta) = \ell_0(\zeta)$ and $R_{k,v} \cdot r_0(\omega) = r_0(\omega)$. (Note that this is implied by the connected nature of each of the $\Delta(k, l)$, confer Figure 2.) The left endpoint here is exactly $\zeta = \zeta_{k,v}$.

Now, the definition of the α-cylinder $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ as the set of those α such that the digit sequence determined by k and v are α-admissible implies that $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ is contained in the intersection of the corresponding α-cylinders for k and the prefixes u of v. In particular, the least right endpoint of these cylinders gives an upper bound of the right endpoint of $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$. But, each of these cylinders has its right endpoint bounded above by its own corresponding fixed point, $\overline{d}(k, u^\infty)$. Hence, we find that the right endpoint of $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ is less than or equal to the least of these $\overline{d}(k, u^\infty)$. Since this least point is $\overline{d}(k, (\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^\infty)$, we are done. □

Lemma 30. *Fix $m = 3$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\gamma_{3,n} = \omega_{1,1}$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma = \gamma_{3,n}$ By definition, $C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\gamma) = r_0(\gamma)$. Applying AC to both sides of this equality yields $r_0(\gamma) = AC \cdot r_0(\gamma)$. Since $\mathfrak{f}(1) = 1$, we have that $r_0(\omega_{1,1}) = AC \cdot r_0(\omega_{1,1})$ and thus $\gamma_{3,n} = \omega_{1,1}$. □

Recall that the full blocks of v are defined in Definition 24.

Lemma 31. *Suppose $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is of length greater than one. Then $\mathfrak{f}(v)$ ends with a full block of v.*

Proof. When $c_1 = 1$, we have $1\,d_1 \succ 1\,(d_1+1)$. When $c_1 > 1$, we have $c_1\,1 \succ (c_1-1)\,1$.

We treat the case of $c_1 = 1$, the other case being similar. First, if $\mathscr{D}(v) = e_1$ then one easily verifies that $\mathfrak{f}(v) = (1\,d_1)^{e_1}$. Otherwise, the argument of Lemma 28 showing that v of length greater than one have $\mathfrak{f}(v)$ of even length, gives here that $\mathfrak{f}(v)$ ends with some power of $[1\,(d_1+1)]$. Suppose we have a prefix of v which ends with a non-full block of this type, say $w = (1\,d_1)^{e_1}[1\,(d_1+1)]^{f_1}\cdots[1\,(d_1+1)]^{f_i-j}$. Then the square of w has the intermediate term $[1(d_1+1)]^{f_i-j}(1\,d_1)^{e_1}$, whereas the prefix that completes w to the end of the block $[1\,(d_1+1)]^{f_i}$ agrees with w^2 up to a replacement of $(1\,d_1)$ by $[1\,(d_1+1)]$. That is, this new prefix is smaller than w^2, and thus certainly its infinite power is smaller than w^2. The result thus holds in this case. □

Proposition 32. *Suppose $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_q(v)\,) = \begin{cases} (c\,1)^h & \text{if } q = 0, v = \Theta_0^h(c), \\ v(v')^{q-1}y & \text{if } q \geq 1, \text{ and } y \text{ as in Proposition 20}, \\ (ua)^{h+1} & \text{if } q = 0, v = \Theta_0^h \circ \Theta_p(u), p \geq 1, \text{ and } \Theta_p(u) = uau. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, the word v is a prefix of $(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^2$.

Proof. Direct evaluation, as in Example 27 shows that $\mathfrak{f}(c\,1\,c) = c\,1$. Now suppose the result holds for some $h-1 \geq 1$; the only remaining (even length) candidate prefixes that could be $\mathfrak{f}(v)$ are $(c\,1)^{h-1}$ and $(c\,1)^h$. Since these words have the same infinite powers, by definition the longer of these, that is $(c\,1)^h$, is $\mathfrak{f}(v)$.

For $q \geq 1$, base cases can be directly verified. We now use induction on the length of v, and thus assume $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_q(\,\mathscr{D}(v)\,)\,) = \mathscr{D}(u)\,\{[\mathscr{D}(u)]'\}^{q-1}Y$, with Y as in (11). From (11), we then have

$$\mathscr{D}(\,v(v')^{q-1}yv\,) = \mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_q(\,\mathscr{D}(v)\,)\,)\,\mathscr{D}(u).$$

Since the blocks of v of exponent e_i are larger than the blocks of exponent f_i, one finds that $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_q(v)\,)$ can be no longer than $v(v')^{q-1}y$. Since $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_q(v)\,)$ ends with a full block, $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_q(v)\,)$ can also be no shorter than $v(v')^{q-1}y$. Thus, the result holds.

We can indeed assume that $\Theta_p(u) = uau$, see Remark 21. From the previous case, $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_p(u)\,) = ua$. One easily finds that $\Theta_0^h \circ \Theta_p(u) = u(au)^{h+1}$. Thus, we seek to prove that $\mathfrak{f}(\,u(au)^{h+1}\,)$ is formed by dropping the suffix u. Here also, we can apply $\mathscr{D}$, as the verification for bases cases is straightforward.

That $(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^2$ has prefix v is easily checked in each case. □

The following result could well be placed earlier, but is not used until directly hereafter.

Lemma 33. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v = c_1d_1\cdots c_{s-1}d_{s-1}c_s$. If $\zeta_{k,v} \in \mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ then $\overline{d}^{\zeta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k,v)$, $\underline{d}^{\zeta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}$. Furthermore, if $v = \overleftarrow{v}$, then $r_0(\zeta_{k,v})$ is the fixed point of $R_{k,\overleftarrow{v}}$.*

Proof. By definition, $\zeta_{k,v}$ is such that $R_{k,v}\cdot r_0(\zeta_{k,v}) = \ell_0(\zeta_{k,v})$ and hence $r_0(\zeta_{k,v})$ is the fixed point of $AR_{k,v} = A^{k+1}C(A^kC)^{c_s-1}\,(A^{k+1}C)^{d_{s-1}}(A^kC)^{c_{s-1}}\cdots(A^{k+1}C)^{d_1}(A^kC)^{c_1}$. When v is a palindrome, that is when $v = \overleftarrow{v}$, this matrix is indeed $R_{k,\overleftarrow{v}}$. (Note that when $v = 1$ this matrix must be interpreted as $A^{k+1}C$.) The definition of $\zeta_{k,v}$ also shows that the sequence of upper simplified digits of $\zeta_{k,v}$ is formed by $\overline{d}(k,v)$ followed by the digits of $\ell_0(\zeta_{k,v})$. That is, $\overline{d}^{\zeta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k,v)$, $\underline{d}^{\zeta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}$. □

Corollary 34. *Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $v = \Theta_p(u)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and some $p \geq 1$. Then for $h \geq 0$, we have $\omega_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} = \omega_{k,v}$. Furthermore, $\lim_{h\to\infty} \zeta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} = \omega_{k,v}$.*

Proof. Proposition 32 implies that $(\,\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_0^h(v)\,)\,)^\infty = (ua)^\infty = (\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^\infty$. Lemma 28 now implies that $\omega_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} = \omega_{k,v}$. For each h, Lemma 33 shows that $\zeta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)}$ has prefix $\overline{d}(k, \Theta_0^h(v)\,) = \overline{d}(k, u(au)^{h+1}\,)$. Therefore, the second statement holds as well. □

Lemma 35. *Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then for $q \in \mathbb{N}$ (except when $(v,q) = (1,1)\,)$,*

$$\mathfrak{f}(\Theta_q(v)) = \overleftarrow{(\Theta_{q-1}(v)\,)'}.$$

Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)} = \zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}$.

Proof. Proposition 32 gives $\mathfrak{f}(\Theta_q(v)) = v(v')^{q-1}y$, with notation as there. Now $(\,\Theta_{q-1}(v)\,)' = v'(v')^{q-1}v'' = (v')^{q-1}yv$. Since both $\Theta_{q-1}(v)$ and y are palindromes, $\overleftarrow{(\Theta_{q-1}(v)\,)'} = v(v')^{q-1}y$. Therefore, $\overleftarrow{(\Theta_{q-1}(v)\,)'} = \mathfrak{f}(\Theta_q(v))$.

By definition, $r_0(\omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)})$ is the fixed point of $R_{k,\mathfrak{f}(\Theta_q(v))}$. By Lemma 33, $r_0(\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)})$ is fixed by $R_{k,\overleftarrow{w}}$, where $w = (\Theta_{q-1}(v)\,)'$. Therefore, $\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)} = \omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)}$. □

The following illustrates how $\Theta_1(1)$ plays a role similar to the $\Theta_0(c), c > 1$.

Lemma 36. *For each $k, c \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\omega_{k,c\,1\,c} = \zeta_{k,c+1}\,.$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{f}(c\,1\,c) = c\,1$, this follows since $A^{k+1}C(\,A^kC)^c \cdot r_0(\alpha) = r_0(\alpha)$ is equivalent to $(\,A^kC)^{c+1} \cdot r_0(\alpha) = \ell_0(\alpha)$. □

Lemma 37. *Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $v\,(v')^\infty \prec (\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^\infty$.*

Proof. The result is immediate for $v = c_1$. We treat our usual remaining cases.

Case 1. Suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(c)$ for some $h \geq 1$ and some $c > 1$. We have $v = c(1\,c)^h$, and $\mathfrak{f}(v) = (c\,1)^h$. Since $v\,(v')^\infty = c(1\,c)^h\,[\,1\,(c-1)\,1\,1]^\infty \prec (c\,1)^{h+2}$, the result holds in this case.

Case 2. $v = \Theta_p(u), p \geq 1$. Write $v = uau$ in our usual decomposition. Then $v'' = au$ and $\mathfrak{f}(v) = ua$. We find

$$(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^3 = uau\,au\,a = vv''a \succ vv',$$

thus certainly $(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^\infty \succ v\,(v')^\infty$.

Case 3. Finally, suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(uau)$. Thus, $v = u(au)^{h+1}, v'' = au$ and $\mathfrak{f}(v) = (ua)^{h+1}$. Hence, $(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^2 = u(au)^{h+1} \cdot au \cdot a(ua)^{h-1} = vv''a(ua)^{h-1}$. Since $v'' \succ v'$, it follows that $(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^2 \succ vv'$, and thus $(\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)^\infty \succ v\,(v')^\infty$. □

4.3. **The complement of the potential synchronization intervals is a Cantor set.**

Proposition 38. *For all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, both*

$$\mathscr{I}_{k,v} = [\zeta_{k,v}, \omega_{k,v}) \text{ and } \mathscr{I}_{k,v} \supset \mathscr{J}_{k,v}\,.$$

Proof. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We argue by induction on the length of the word v. Recall that by Lemma 16, $\eta_{k,v} \in \mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ implies that $\overline{d}(k, v(v')^\infty)$ gives the sequence of simplified digits of $r_0(\eta_{k,v})$.

The base cases, given by $v = c_1$, are easily verified.

Case 1. Suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(c)$ for some $h \geq 1$ and some $c > 1$. We have $v = c(1\,c)^h$. We begin by assuming the result for both words $c, c+1$. We then have $\eta_{k,c} < \zeta_{k,c+1} < \omega_{k,c+1} = \omega_{k,c}$. Therefore, there must be proper extensions of the word $c_1 = c$ that are admissible on $[\eta_{k,c}, \zeta_{k,c+1})$. By Lemma 16, $\overline{d}^{\eta_{k,c}}_{[1,\infty)} = k^c, \overline{(k+1), k^{c-1}}$; that is, $c\,1$ is admissible at this value of α. Now, $(A^k C)^{c+1} \cdot r_0(\alpha) = \ell_0(\alpha)$ determines the value $\alpha = \zeta_{k,c+1}$. Equivalently, $A^{k+1}C(A^kC)^c \cdot r_0(\alpha) = r_0(\alpha)$.

From this last, we conclude that $\mathfrak{f}(c\,1\,c) = c\,1$ is admissible throughout $[\eta_{k,c}, \zeta_{k,c+1})$, with arbitrarily high powers of $c\,1$ admissible for α sufficiently close but smaller than $\zeta_{k,c+1}$. (Recall that $\omega_{k,c\,1\,c} = \zeta_{k,c+1}$.) Since $\Theta_0(c) = c\,1\,c$ is a prefix of $(c\,1)^2$, we find that there is an interval with right endpoint $\omega_{k,c\,1\,c}$ on which the word $\Theta_0(c)$ is admissible. The left endpoint of this interval is characterized as the leftmost α such that the third letter is remains admissible; it must hence be $\zeta_{k,c\,1\,c}$. Thus, our result holds when $h = 1$. We now partition the interval $[\eta_{k,c}, \zeta_{k,c+1})$ according to the highest power of $c\,1$ that is admissible for α, from which the result follows.

Case 2. $v = \Theta_p(u), p \geq 1$. Write $v = uau$ in our usual decomposition. By hypothesis, $\overline{d}(k, v)$ gives a prefix of $\overline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$ for all $\alpha \in [\zeta_{k,v}, \omega_{k,v})$.

We have $\mathfrak{f}(v) = ua$, and the admissibility of $v = uau$ implies the admissibility of ua on $[\zeta_{k,v}, \omega_{k,v})$. Thus for each $\alpha \in [\zeta_{k,v}, \omega_{k,v})$, there exists a maximal $N = N(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u(au)^N$ gives a prefix of $\overline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$. Since $\omega_{k,v}$ corresponds to the fixed point of R_{ua}, the values $N(\alpha)$ are unbounded. Furthermore, if $N(\alpha) = \alpha$, then for all $\alpha' \in [\alpha, \omega_{k,v})$ we have $N(\alpha') \geq N(\alpha)$. Thus, (since the hypothesis implies that $N = 1$ is realized) each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is realized as $N(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{k,v}$, and we can partition $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ by subintervals identified from the value of $N(\alpha)$.

Now, $\Theta_0(v) = vv'' = u(au)^2$, and hence $\Theta_0(v)$ is admissible on $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)}, \omega_{k,v})$. Similarly, each $\Theta_0^h(v)$ is admissible on $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)}, \omega_{k,v})$. By considering our ordering on words, it is clear that $\zeta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} < \eta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} < \omega_{k,v}$. Therefore, the result holds for all $\Theta_0^h(v)$.

The admissibility v on all of $\mathscr{I}_{k,v}$ and the admissibility of $\Theta_0(v)$ on exactly $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)}, \omega_{k,v})$ implies that there must be a shortest extension of $v = uau$ which is admissible for those α immediately to the left of $\zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)}$. Lemma 35 shows that $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_1(v)\,)$ is this extension. The fixed point of $R_{k,\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_1(v)\,)}$ is $r_0(\omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)})$, and we again argue that arbitrarily high powers of this word, $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_1(v)\,)$, must be admissible just to the left of the corresponding value α, that is of $\omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)}$.

Since *any* $v = uau$ is always a prefix of the square of the corresponding $\mathfrak{f}(v) = ua$, we find that all of $\Theta_1(v)$ is admissible on an interval ending at $\omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)}$. By definition of $\zeta_{k,\Theta_1(v)}$ it follows that this interval is all of $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_1(v)}, \omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)})$. We iterate this argument for increasing q, to give that for each q, $\Theta_q(v)$ is admissible on exactly $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_q(v)}, \omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)})$. The definition of $\eta_{k,\Theta_q(v)}$ shows that it lies strictly between $\zeta_{k,\Theta_q(v)}$ and $\omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)})$.

Case 3. Suppose that $v = \Theta_0^h(uau)$ for some uau of Case 2. Thus, $v = u(au)^{h+1}$.

By the proof of Case 2, we can also assume our result for $\Theta_0^{h+1}(uau) = \Theta_0(v)$. In particular, the left boundary of $\mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_0(v)}$ does occur at $\zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)}$. By Lemma 35, $\omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)} = \zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)}$. Arguments as in the previous case yield that all of $\Theta_1(v)$ is admissible on an interval ending at

$\omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)}$, and that this interval is indeed $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_1(v)}, \omega_{k,\Theta_1(v)})$. In this case also, induction on q is successful. That $\mathscr{J}_{\Theta_q(v)} \subset \mathscr{I}_{\Theta_q(v)}$ is here also straightforward. □

We are now ready for the following.

Proof of Theorem 19. That $(0, \gamma_{3,n}) = \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{k,1}$ follows simply from the fact that for $\alpha \in (0, \gamma_{3,n})$ and $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, $T_\alpha(x) = A^k C \cdot x$ for some k. Proposition 38 shows that for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathscr{I}_{k,v} = [\zeta_{k,v}, \omega_{k,v})$ can be partitioned by $\mathscr{J}_{k,v} = [\zeta_{k,v}, \eta_{k,v})$ and its complement. By Corollary 34 (and the complementary results proven in cases 1 and 3 of the proof of Proposition 38), we have have that $\omega_{k,\Theta_0(v)} = \omega_{k,v}$. By Lemma 35, for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)} = \zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}$. Therefore, $\cup_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_q(v)}$ is a subinterval of $\mathscr{I}_{k,v} \setminus \mathscr{J}_{k,v}$ which has $\omega_{k,v}$ as its right endpoint. Finally, the definition of $\Theta_q(v)$ combined with Lemma 33 shows that $\lim_{q\to\infty} \zeta_{k,\Theta_q(v)} = \eta_{k,v}$. Therefore, the left endpoint of the union is in fact the right endpoint of $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$. □

4.4. **Potential synchronization intervals are intervals of synchronization.** We now define $L_{k,v}$ exactly so that the group identity of Proposition 49 gives that $L_{k,v} = C^{-1}ACR_{k,v}$, and thus the main hypothesis of Lemma 12 will be satisfied. That synchronization does occur along $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$ is then only a matter of showing that $L_{k,v}A \cdot \ell_0(\alpha)$ is admissible at all $\alpha \in \mathscr{J}_{k,v}$.

For further ease, we set

$$w = w_{3,n} = (-1)^{n-2}, -2, (-1)^{n-3}, -2.$$

Note that the length of w is $|w| = 2n-3$. One of our first goals is to show that as α tends to zero, $\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$ begins with ever higher powers of w. Recall from (8) that (for any m, n) the element $W = A^{-2}C\,(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}\left[A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\right]^{m-2}$, equals $W = A^{-1}C^{-1}ACA$. Just as this is fundamental to understanding the case of $\alpha = 0$, so is it key to the study of left-orbits for small values of α. For ease of reference, the case of $m = 3$ is

$$W = A^{-2}C\,(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}\,A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,.$$

In the particular cases of $m = 3$, [CS] show that for all n the $T_{3,n,\alpha=0}$-orbit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ is purely periodic of period w.

4.4.1. *Left digits are admissible.* For typographic ease, let

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_k = (-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^{k-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_k = (-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^k\,. \tag{12}$$

Accordingly, we let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k = W^{k-1}A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_k = W^k A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}.$$

Definition 39. Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) The lower (simplified) digit sequence of k, v is

$$\underline{d}(k,v) = w^k, \mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s}, (-1)^{n-2} = (-1)^{n-2}, -2, \mathcal{C}^{c_1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s}, (-1)^{n-2}\,,$$

of length

(2) $\underline{S}(k,v) = |\,\underline{d}(k,v)\,| = n + [(k-1)(2n-3)+n-2]\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i + [k(2n-3)+n-2]\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} d_j$.

(3) The *left matrix* of k, v is

$$\begin{aligned} L_{k,v} &= (A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{c_s}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{d_{s-1}}\cdots\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{d_1}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{c_1-1}W^kA^{-1} \\ &= (A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{c_s}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{d_{s-1}}\cdots\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{d_1}\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{c_1}A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}A^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Proposition 49, below, implies that $L_{k,v} = C^{-1}AC\,R_{k,v}$.

Our aim is to show the admissibility of $\underline{d}(k,v)$ on $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$. In the following, we give both the left and right simplified digit sequence for each of the endpoints of $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$. The right sequences follow from the results above. We present them here for ease of comparison.

Lemma 40. *Let $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots c_s \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\underline{d}(k,v)$ is admissible on $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$. Set $\zeta = \zeta_{k,v}$ and $\eta = \eta_{k,v}$. Then*

$$\underline{d}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)} = \underline{d}(k, (\overleftarrow{v'})^{\infty})\,;$$

$$\overline{d}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k,v),\, \underline{d}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)}\,;$$

$$\underline{d}^{\eta}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{w^k, \mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s}, (-1)^{n-3}, -2}\,;$$

$$\overline{d}^{\eta}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{d}(k, v(v')^{\infty})\,.$$

Proof. Lemma 33 yields the expressions for $\overline{d}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)}$ and $\overline{d}^{\eta}_{[1,\infty)}$.

We first show that the expansions for $\ell_0(\zeta), \ell_0(\eta)$ are correct assuming admissibility of $\underline{d}(k,v)$ for all $\alpha \in [\zeta, \eta)$.

Letting $L = L_{k,v}$, Lemma 12 gives $A^{-2}CLA \cdot \ell_0(\zeta) = \ell_1(\zeta)$. Thus, the digits of $\ell_0(\zeta)$ are periodic, with preperiod of length one. Since $w = (-1)^{n-2}, -2, (-1)^{n-3}, -2$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{d}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)} &= -1, \overline{(-1)^{n-3}, -2, (-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^{k-1}, \mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s}, (-1)^{n-2}, -2} \\
&= w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s}, (-1)^{n-2}, -2, (-1)^{n-3}, -2, (-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^{k-1}} \\
&= w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s}, w, (-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^{k-1}} \\
&= w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s-1}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}} \\
&= w^k, \mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s-1}, \mathcal{D}, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c_1}\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\cdots\mathcal{D}^{d_{s-1}}\mathcal{C}^{c_s-1}\mathcal{D}}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Since v is a palindrome, this last is indeed the infinite sequence $\underline{d}(k, (\overleftarrow{v'})^{\infty})$.

Note that in the special case that $v = c_1, c > 1$, we find $\underline{d}^{\zeta_{k,c}}_{[1,\infty)} = w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c-1}, w, \mathcal{C}} = w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c-2}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}}$ and $\underline{d}^{\zeta_{k,1}}_{[1,\infty)} = w^{k+1}, \overline{(-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^k} = w^{k+1}, \overline{\mathcal{D}}$.

Since $LA \cdot \ell_0(\eta) = r_0(\eta)$, we have that $A^{-1}LA$ fixes $\ell_0(\eta)$ and hence $\underline{d}^{\eta}_{[1,\infty)}$ is indeed purely periodic, with the indicated period. □

Lemma 41. *Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le i < |w|$. If there is some $\alpha \le \gamma_{3,n}$ such that $\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,j|w|+i]} = w^j\, w_{[1,i]}$, then $\underline{d}^{\alpha'}_{1,j|w|+i} = w^j\, w_{[1,i]}$ for all $\alpha' < \alpha$.*

Proof. (Of course, if $i = 0$, then $w_{[1,i]}$ is the empty word.) Since it is shown in [CS] that all powers of W are admissible when $\alpha = 0$, there are thus branches of digits corresponding to each $W^k \cdot \ell_0, A^{-1}CW^k \cdot \ell_0, (A^{-1}C)^2W^k \cdot \ell_0, \ldots, (A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^k \cdot \ell_0$ and $A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^k \cdot \ell_0$ that continue to the right from $\alpha = 0$. For each, by Lemma 4, admissibility at α thus guarantees admissibility at each $\alpha' \le \alpha$. □

Lemma 42. *Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have*

$$\underline{d}^{\omega_{k,1}}_{[1,\infty)} = w^k, \overline{(-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^{k-1}} = w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}}\,.$$

The digits $\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,n-3+k|w|\,]} = w^k, (-1)^{n-3}$ are admissible for all $\alpha \le \omega_{k,1}$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{f}(1) = 1$, the definition of $\omega_{k,v}$ yields $A^{k-1}CA \cdot \ell_0(\omega_{k,1}) = \ell_0(\omega_{k,1})$. Lemma 47, below, shows that $A^{k-1}CA = C^{-1}A^{-1}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^{k-1}$. For $\alpha \le \gamma_{3,n}$, we certainly have that $\ell_1(\alpha) = A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0(\alpha)$, thus $\ell_1(\omega_{k,1}) = A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^{k-1} \cdot \ell_0(\omega_{k,1})$. Thus, the T_α-orbit of $\ell_0(\omega_{k,1})$ is periodic, with minimal preperiod of length one. Elementary manipulations give the claimed expression for the simplified digits, assuming admissibility.

We have that the graph of the function $x \mapsto A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^{k-1}A^{-1} \cdot x$ meets the vertical line $x = r_0(\omega_{k,1})$ at $y = \ell_1(\omega_{k,1})$. Since the $T_{\omega_{k,1}}$-cylinder $\Delta(-2,1)$ is full, there is also a point $y \in \mathbb{I}_{\omega_{k,1}}$ where the graph of the function $x \mapsto (A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^{k-1}A^{-1} \cdot x$ meets $x = r_0(\omega_{k,1})$. By Lemma 41, this implies that $(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^{k-1} \cdot \ell_0(\omega_{k,1})$ is admissible. It follows that $A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^{k-1} \cdot \ell_0(\omega_{k,1})$ is also admissible. The rest of $w^k, \overline{(-1)^{n-3}, -2, w^{k-1}}$ is determined by periodicity and is thus also admissible.

The second statement now follows immediately from Lemma 41. □

Remark 43. Note that the above yields $\underline{d}^{\omega_{k+1,1}}_{[1,\infty)} = \underline{d}^{\zeta_{k,1}}_{[1,\infty)}$, in accordance with the fact that $\mathfrak{f}(1) = 1$ implies $\omega_{k+1,1} = \zeta_{k,1}$.

In the following proof and occasionally thereafter, we will have need of the following.

Definition 44. For any word z, let $z_{[-1]}, z_{[-2]}$ denote the excision of the last two letters, or last letter, from z respectively.

Proposition 45. *Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{J}_{k,v}$,*

$$\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\underline{S})} = \underline{d}(k,v)\,.$$

Proof. For all v, we will exhibit $\alpha' < \zeta_{k,v}$ and $\alpha'' > \eta_{k,v}$ such that both $\overline{d}^{\alpha'}_{[1,\infty)}$ and $\overline{d}^{\alpha''}_{[1,\infty)}$ are known to be admissible (by induction), and share as a common prefix all but the final letter of $\underline{d}(k,v\,)$. By Lemma 4, the admissibility of these first $\underline{S}(k,v) - 1$ digits then holds on $[\alpha', \alpha'']$. Since we already know the admissibility of our right digits, Lemma 46, below, applies and we can conclude admissibility of all of $\underline{d}(k,v\,)$ on $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$. See Table 3 for a summary of the pairs α', α'' used for the various cases of v.

Base cases. Consider $v = c_1 = c$. The lower simplified digit sequence $\underline{d}^{\omega_{k,1}}_{[1,\infty)} = w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ agrees with $\underline{d}(k,c) = w^k, \mathcal{C}^{c-1}, (-1)^{n-2}$ through to its penultimate digit. When $v = 1$, Lemma 42 shows that these shared digits are admissible for all $\alpha \le \omega_{k,1}$; therefore our proof template succeeds in this case. For $c > 1$, by induction $\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,c-1}}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{w^k, \mathcal{C}^{c-2}, (-1)^{n-3}, -2}$ is admissible, and has $\underline{d}(k,c)$ as a prefix.

Suppose $v = c\,1\,c$. Here we use $\alpha' = \eta_{k,c}$, and $\alpha'' = \omega_{k,c\,1\,c}$. Since $\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,c}}_{[1,\infty)} = w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c-1}\mathcal{D}}$, it has the prefix $w^k, \mathcal{C}^{c-1}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}^c, w$. This in turn has $\underline{d}(k, c\,1\,c)$ as a prefix. Lemma 36 and Lemma 40 give that $\underline{d}^{\omega_{k,c\,1\,c}}_{[1,\infty)} = \underline{d}^{\zeta_{k,c+1}}_{[1,\infty)} = w^k, \overline{\mathcal{C}^{c-1}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C}}$. This agrees with $\underline{d}(k, c\,1\,c)$ through to the penultimate digit of this latter. Thus the admissibility holds on $\mathscr{J}_{k,c\,1\,c}$.

	v	α'	α''
Base cases	1	0	$\omega_{k,1}$
	$c>1$	$\eta_{k,c-1}$	$\omega_{k,c}=\omega_{k,1}$
	$c\,1\,c, c\geq 1$	$\eta_{k,c}$	$\omega_{k,c\,1\,c}=\zeta_{k,c+1}$
	$\Theta_q(c), q\geq 2$	$\eta_{k,c}$	$\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(c)}$
	$\Theta_1(c), c>1$	$\eta_{k,c}$	$\zeta_{k,\Theta_0(c)}$
Case 1	$\Theta_0^h(c)$	$\eta_{k,\Theta_0^{h-1}(c)}$	$\zeta_{k,c+1}$
Case 2	$\Theta_q\circ\Theta_p(u),\ p,q\geq 1$	$\eta_{k,\Theta_p(u)}$	$\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}\circ\Theta_p(u)}$
Case 3	$\Theta_0^h\circ\Theta_p(u),\ p\geq 1$	$\eta_{k,\Theta_0^{h-1}\circ\Theta_p(u)}$	$\zeta_{k,\Theta_{p-1}(u)}$

TABLE 3. Admissibility of $\underline{d}(k,v)$ on $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$ is shown by finding $\alpha'<\zeta_{k,v}<\eta_{k,v}<\alpha''$ such that $\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$ agrees with $\underline{d}(k,v)$ through to its penultimate digit for both $\alpha=\alpha',\alpha''$. See the proof of Proposition 45.

Suppose $v=\Theta_q(c), q\geq 1$ and $c>1$. We again use $\alpha'=\eta_{k,c}$. The sequence $\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,c}}_{[1,\infty)}$ and $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{C},w$, here $\underline{d}^{\alpha'}_{[1,\infty)}$ has the prefix $w^k,(\mathcal{C}^{c-1}\mathcal{D})^{q+1}\mathcal{C}^c,w$. This in turn has $\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(c))$ as a prefix. Let $\alpha''=\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(c)}$. Then $\underline{d}^{\alpha''}_{[1,\infty)}=w^k,\overline{(\mathcal{C}^{c-1}\mathcal{D})^{q+1}\mathcal{C}}$, which has the prefix $w^k,(\mathcal{C}^{c-1}\mathcal{D})^{q+1}\mathcal{C}^c,(-1)^{n-3},-2$. It thus agrees with $\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(c))$ through to the penultimate digit of this latter. Thus the admissibility holds. One checks that the same form of α',α'' works for $v=\Theta_q(c), q\geq 2$ and $c=1$.

Case 1. Suppose $v=\Theta_0^h(c), h\geq 2$ for some $c>1$. We have $v=c(1\,c)^h$. We induce on h, setting $\alpha'=\eta_{k,\Theta_0^{h-1}(c)}$. Then, $\underline{d}^{\alpha'}_{[1,\infty)}$ has the prefix $w^k,\mathcal{C}^{c-1},(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}^c)^h,(-1)^{n-3},-2$, which agrees through to the penultimate digit of $\underline{d}(k,v)$. One easily checks that setting $\alpha''=\zeta_{k,c+1}$ yields $\underline{d}^{\alpha''}_{[1,\infty)}$ of prefix $\underline{d}(k,v)$.

Case 2. Suppose that the result holds for $v=uau=\Theta_p(u)$ with $u\in\mathcal{V}$ and $p\geq 1$. We prove that the result holds for $\Theta_q(v), q\geq 1$. We take $\alpha'=\eta_{k,v}$ and $\alpha''=\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}$ if $q\geq 1$. To appropriately restrict the use of $\underline{d}(k,v)$ to prefixes u of $v\in\mathcal{V}$, note that we must in particular suppress the final digit of -1; we denote this by $\underline{d}'(k,u)$. Recall that $v'=a\overleftarrow{u'}u$, $v''=au$ and that u both begins and ends with the letter c_1.

When $c_1>1$, from $\overleftarrow{u'}=u_{[-1]}(c_1-1)\,1$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}&=\underline{d}(k,v(v')^\infty)=\underline{d}(k,v(v')^q a\overleftarrow{u'}u\,(v')^\infty)\\&=\underline{d}'(k,v(v')^q a u_{[-1]})\,\mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}\,\mathcal{C}^{c_1}\cdots.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, still with $c_1>1$, we have

$$\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(v)\,)=\underline{d}'(k,v(v')^q a u_{[-1]})\,\mathcal{C}^{c_1}\,.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{C},w$, thus $\mathcal{C}^{c_1-1}\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{C}^{c_1},w$; we see that $\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(v)\,)$ is indeed a prefix of $\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}$.

When $c_1=1$, $\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(v)\,)=\underline{d}'(k,v(v')^q a u_{[-1]})\mathcal{D}^{d_1}\mathcal{C}$ while $\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}=\underline{d}'(k,v(v')^q a u_{[-2]})\mathcal{D}^{d_1+1}\,\mathcal{C}\cdots$. Thus, since $\mathcal{C}$ is a prefix of $\mathcal{D}$, we find that here also $\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(v)\,)$ is a prefix of $\underline{d}^{\eta_{k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}$.

Since $\Theta_{q-1}(v) = v(v')^{q-1}v''$ is a palindrome, $\overleftarrow{(\Theta_{q-1}(v))'} = v(v')^{q-1}a\overleftarrow{u'}$. By Proposition 20, $v'v'' = a\overleftarrow{u'}v$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}(\overleftarrow{(\Theta_{q-1}(v))'})^\infty &= v\,[(v')^{q-1}v'v'']^\infty \\ &= v\,[(v')^q v'']^\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Thus Lemma 40 yields $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}} = \underline{d}(k, v\,[(v')^q v'']^\infty)$. Therefore, $\underline{d}'(k, v(v')^q v''), (-1)^{n-3}, -2$ is a prefix of $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}}$. That is, $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}}$ agrees with $\underline{d}(k,\Theta_q(v))$ exactly through to its penultimate digit.

Case 3. Again suppose that $v = \Theta_p(u) = uau$ in our usual notation. Then $v'' = (u')^p u''$, and one finds that $\Theta_0^h \circ \Theta_p(u) = \Theta_p(u)\,[(u')^p u'']^h$. For these words, we use $\alpha'' = \zeta_{k,\Theta_{p-1}(u)}$. Indeed, from (13), $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{k,\Theta_{p-1}(u)}}$ agrees with $\underline{d}(k, \Theta_0^h \circ \Theta_p(u))$ exactly through to its penultimate digit.

Since $(\Theta_0^{h-1} \circ \Theta_p(u))' = u'\,[(u')^p u'']^h$, we find that

$$\Theta_0^{h-1}(v) \cdot (\Theta_0^{h-1}(v))' = \Theta_0^h(v) \cdot u'[(u')^p u'']^h. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, Lemma 40 yields that $\alpha' = \eta_{k,\Theta_0^{h-1}(v)}$ allows the proof to succeed. □

Lemma 46. *With notation as above, suppose that for all $\alpha \in [\zeta_{k,v}, \eta_{k,v}]$, both*

(a) $\overline{d}_{[1,\underline{S}]}^{\alpha} = \overline{d}(k,v)$;

(b) $\underline{d}_{[1,\underline{S}-1]}^{\alpha}$ *agrees with the initial subword of length* $\underline{S}-1$ *of* $\underline{d}(k,v)$.

Then for all $\alpha \in [\zeta_{k,v}, \eta_{k,v})$ we have $\underline{d}_{[1,\underline{S}]}^{\alpha} = \underline{d}(k,v)$.

Proof. By the first hypothesis, there is some $\chi = \chi_{k,v}$ such that $R_v \cdot r_0(\chi_v) = 0$. Since $C^{-1}ACR_{k,v} = L_{k,v}$ and it is trivially verified that $C^{-1}AC$ fixes zero, we find that $L_{k,v} \cdot \ell_0(\chi) = 0$. In particular, we find that $\underline{d}_{[1,\underline{S}]}^{\chi} = \underline{d}(k,v)$.

Now by continuity and the fact that Möbius functions are increasing functions, we can invoke the second conclusion of Lemma 9 on an interval around χ to find in particular that $L_{k,v} \cdot \ell_0(\alpha) > R_v \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ holds from $\alpha = \zeta_{k,v}$ until $L_{k,v} \cdot \ell_0(\alpha) = r_0(\alpha)$. But, this describes exactly the interval $[\zeta_{k,v}, \eta_{k,v})$. □

4.5. **There are no other points of synchronization.** Suppose that $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}$ is not in any $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$ and is also not equal to any $\eta_{k,v}$. There is some k such that $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{k,1}$, but of course $\alpha \notin \mathscr{J}_{k,1}$; there is thus a unique q_1 such that $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_{q_1}(1)}$. Again, $\alpha \notin \mathscr{J}_{k,\Theta_{q_1}(1)}$ and thus there is a unique q_2 with $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_{q_2}\circ\Theta_{q_1}(1)}$. Clearly this process iterates, and we find that there is an infinite sequence of q_i such that $\alpha \in \cap_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_{q_j}\circ\cdots\circ\Theta_{q_1}(1)}$. Recall that for any $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and any q, $\Theta_q(v)$ has v as a prefix. Therefore, the sequence of the q_i uniquely determines both $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ and $\overline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$. In particular, $\overline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ has digits only in $\{k, k+1\}$, while $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ has digits only in $\{-1,-2\}$. Therefore, the two orbits cannot synchronize.

Note that when α is some $\eta_{k,v}$ then again $\overline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ has digits only in $\{k, k+1\}$, while $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ has digits only in $\{-1,-2\}$.

4.6. **The non-synchronization set is of measure zero.** Although we have proven that the complement of the $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$ is a Cantor set, it is then still possible that it could be a so-called fat Cantor set thus one of positive measure. We will easily show that the non-synchronization points have left expansions that involve only -1 and -2 as simplified digits. We will argue by way of the maps of [CS] that the set of such α is of measure zero.

The T_α-orbit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ is always in the set of points whose simplified digits are -1 or -2. In particular, this orbit certainly remains in $[-t, 0)$. But $[-t, 0)$ is the interval of definition of the map $g = g_{3,n}$ studied in [CS], where $g(x) = A^k C \cdot x$ with k defined exactly so that this image lies in $[-t, 0)$. Therefore, for any α whose T_α orbit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ remains in the set with simplified digits -1 or -2 (note that every point in such an orbit is less than zero), this T_α-orbit is the g-orbit of $\beta := \ell_0(\alpha)$. Furthermore, [CS] shows that g is ergodic with respect to what is naturally called a Gauss measure, although this measure is infinite. A so-called acceleration of g, a map f on $[-t, 0)$ is then shown in [CS] to be ergodic with respect to a *finite* measure (which is equivalent to Lebesgue). The process of acceleration involves taking well-defined subsequences of g-orbits. We thus find that the f-orbit of β remains of small digits, and due to the Ergodic Theorem, β lies in a measure zero subset.

5. The group element identities for the setting $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}$

We gather key group identities, used above, in this section.

Lemma 47. *Fix $m = 3$. For integers $a, k \geq 1$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$A^u C (A^k C)^a = A^{u-1} C \, (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} \, [W^{k-1} A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a-1} W^k A^{-1} \, .$$

Proof. For $a = 1$, we give an induction proof. We use repeatedly (8): $W = A^{-1}C^{-1}ACA$. First,

$$A^u CACA = A^u C \; CAW = A^u C^3 \; (C^{-1}A) \; W = A^u C^3 (A^{-1}C)^{n-1} W \, ,$$

giving the case of $k = 1$, as $m = 3$. Now, we complete the proof in the case of $a = 1$ by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} A^u C A^{k+1} C &= (A^u C A^k C) \; C^{-1} AC \\ &= A^{u-1} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} W^k \; (A^{-1} C^{-1} AC) \\ &= A^{u-1} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} W^{k+1} A^{-1} \, . \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that with $m = 3$, we have $W = A^{-2} C \, (A^{-1}C)^{n-3} \, A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2}$, the induction step for increasing values of a is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A^u C (A^k C)^{a+1} &= A^{u-1} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} [W^{k-1} A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a-1} W^k A^{-1} \; A^k C \\ &= A^{u-1} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} [W^{k-1} A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^a \, A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3} \, A^{-1} C \; A^{k-1} C \\ &= A^{u-1} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} [W^{k-1} A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^a \\ &\qquad \times A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3} \, A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} W^{k-1} A^{-1} \\ &= A^{u-1} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-2} [W^{k-1} A^{-2} C (A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^a \, W^k A^{-1} \, , \end{aligned}$$

where in the passage from the second to the third line we have applied the identity in the case of $a = 1$. □

Lemma 48. *With $m = 3$, for any integer $k \geq 1$ we have*

$$W A^{-1} \cdot A^k C A^{-1} C = A^{-2} C \, (A^{-1} C \,)^{n-3} W^k \, A^{-2} C \, .$$

Proof. We show this by induction.

Recall (8): $W = A^{-1}C^{-1}ACA$. Our base case is $k = 1$, where we find

$$\begin{aligned} WA^{-1} \cdot A^1CA^{-1}C &= A^{-1}C^{-1}ACACA^{-1}C \\ &= A^{-1}C^{-1}ACACAA^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}C^{-1}AC(CAA^{-1}C^{-1})ACAA^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}(C^{-1}A)C^2AWA^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-1}C^2AWA^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}A^{-1}CC^2AWA^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}WA^{-2}C \end{aligned}$$

We have used that $C = AB$ gives $A^{-1}C$ is of order n, and that C^3 is the projective identity.

Now assume that the identity holds for k. We find

$$\begin{aligned} WA^{-1} \cdot W^{k+1}A^1CA^{-1}C &= (WA^{-1}A)\ A^kCA^{-1}C \\ &= W\ AW^{-1}A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C \\ &= W\ AW^{-1}A^{-1}\ (A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^kA^{-2}C \\ &= W\ AW^{-1}A^{-1}\ (C^{-1}A)^2W^kA^{-2}C \\ &= W\ AW^{-1}A^{-1}\ (C^{-1}A)^2(W^{-1}W)W^kA^{-2}C \\ &= W\ AW^{-1}A^{-1}\ C^{-1}A(C^{-1}AA^{-1}C^{-1})A^{-1}CA\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= W\ A(W^{-1})A^{-1}\ C^{-1}AC^{-2}A^{-1}CA\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= W\ (AA^{-1})C^{-1}(A^{-1}CAA^{-1}\ C^{-1}A)C^{-2}A^{-1}CA\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= W\ (C^{-3})A^{-1}CA\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= (W)\ A^{-1}CA\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}C^{-1}AC(AA^{-1})CA\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}C^{-1}A(C^2)A\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}(C^{-1}A)^2\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C \\ &= A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}\ W^{k+1}A^{-2}C. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 49. *With $m = 3$, for any integer u we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &A^uC(A^kC)^{a_s}\,(A^{k+1}C)^{b_{s-1}}\,(A^kC)^{a_{s-1}}\ \cdots(A^{k+1}C)^{b_1}\,(A^kC)^{a_1} \\ &=\ A^{u-1}CA^{-1}C[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_s}[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_{s-1}}\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_{s-1}}\ \cdots \\ &\quad\cdots[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_1}\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_1-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Lemma 47 yields that each

$$(A^{k+1}C)^b(A^kC)^a = (A^{k+1}C)^{b-1}A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}(W^{k-1}A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3})^{a-1}W^kA^{-1}.$$

Thus, if $b > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{k+1}C)^b(A^kC)^a &= (A^{k+1}C)^{b-2}A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}W^kA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}(W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C)^{a-1}W^kA^{-1}\\ &\vdots\\ &= A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,[W^kA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{b-1}[W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a-1}W^kA^{-1}\,,\end{aligned}$$

giving a formula that is also valid when $b = 1$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&(A^{k+1}C)^{b_j}\,(A^kC)^{a_j}\,(A^{k+1}C)^{b_{j-1}}\,(A^kC)^{a_{j-1}}\\ &= A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,[W^kA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{b_j-1}[W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a_j-1}W^kA^{-1}\\ &\quad\times A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,[W^kA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{b_{j-1}-1}[W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a_{j-1}-1}W^kA^{-1}\\ &= A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}[W^kA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{b_j-1}[W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a_j-1}W^{k-1}\\ &\quad\times WA^{-1}A^kCA^{-1}C\\ &\quad\quad\times\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_{j-1}-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}[W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a_{j-1}-1}W^kA^{-1}\\ &= A^kC(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}\,[W^kA^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{b_j-1}[W^{k-1}A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}]^{a_j-1}W^{k-1}\\ &\quad\times A^{-2}C(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C\\ &\quad\quad\times\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_{j-1}-1}[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_{j-1}-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-1}\\ &= A^kCA^{-1}C\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_j-1}[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_j}\\ &\quad\times\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_{j-1}}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}CA^{-2}C]^{a_{j-1}-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-1}\,,\end{aligned}$$

where for the penultimate line we apply Lemma 48.

We now repeatedly use Lemma 48 so as to find that

$$\begin{aligned}&(A^{k+1}C)^{b_{s-1}}\,(A^kC)^{a_{s-1}}\,\cdots(A^{k+1}C)^{b_1}\,(A^kC)^{a_1}\\ &= A^kCA^{-1}C\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_{s-1}-1}\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_{s-1}}\,\cdots\\ &\quad\cdots[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-2}C]^{b_1}\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_1-1}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-1}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now multiply both sides on the left by $A^uC(A^kC)^{a_s}$. On the right hand side, we collect an A^kC and thus apply Lemma 47 to replace $A^uC(A^kC)^{a_s+1}$ by $A^{u-1}CA^{-1}C\,[(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^{k-1}A^{-2}C]^{a_s}(A^{-1}C)^{n-3}W^kA^{-1}$. The final A^{-1} of this substitution is now adjacent to the leftmost $A^{-1}C$ in the above display, and thus we can combine and regroup to find the desired expression. □

6. Synchronization for $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}, n \geq m$

Fix $m = 3$ and $n \geq 3$. Let $\epsilon = \epsilon_{3,n}$ be such that $A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0(\epsilon) = r_0(\epsilon)$. Then the parameter subinterval $[\epsilon, 1)$ is partitioned by subintervals indexed by $k \geq 2$ and characterized by $\ell_1(\alpha) = A^{-k}C \cdot \ell_0(\alpha)$. (When $n = 3$, one finds that $\epsilon_{3,3} = G/2$, see Figure 5.)

Theorem 50. *For $m = 3$ and $n \geq m$, let $\epsilon = \epsilon_{3,n}$. The set of $\alpha \in (\epsilon, 1)$ such that there exists $i = i_\alpha, j = j_\alpha$ with $T^i_{3,n,\alpha}(\,r_0(\alpha)\,) = T^j_{3,n,\alpha}(\,\ell_0(\alpha)\,)$ is of full measure.*

Synchronization for these large values of α holds in a manner closely analogous to that for small α. We will find that the intervals indexed by exactly the same set of words $\mathcal{V}$, although the indexing will depend on negative integers and be given in terms of left digits. There are differences: in particular, each potential synchronization interval is the union of what could

fairly be called two distinct synchronization intervals. The right orbit requires an extra step before synchronization on one of the two subintervals.

It will naturally be important to know the initial digits of $r_0(\alpha)$ in this range. For this, define $\delta = \delta_{3,n}$ as the value of α such that $C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\delta) = A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0(\delta)$. (Note that $\delta_{3,n} < \epsilon_{3,n}$, see Figure 5.) Thus, $CA^{-1}CA^{-1} \cdot r_0(\delta) = \ell_0(\delta)$. That is, $(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\delta) = \ell_0(\delta)$. By Proposition 7, $(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ is admissible for $\alpha = 1$ and we conclude that $(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ is admissible for all $\alpha \in (\delta, 1]$ and in particular for all $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}$.

6.1. **Synchronization intervals have Cantor set complement.** For these large α, synchronization is signaled by left and right digits being related by $C^{-1}AC^{-1}$.

Lemma 51. *Fix $m = 3$. Suppose that α is such for all $x \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, $d^\alpha(x) = (k, \ell)$ with $\ell \in \{1, 2\}$. Fix $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\ell_{i-1} = C^{-1}AC^{-1} \cdot r_{j-1}$ and $C \cdot r_{j-1} \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Then*

(i) *If $r_j = AC^2 \cdot r_{j-1}$ then $\ell_i = r_{j+1}$;*
(ii) *otherwise, $\ell_i = r_j$*

Proof. Since $C \cdot r_{j-1} \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, there is some u such that $r_j = A^u C^2 \cdot r_{j-1}$.

If $r_j = AC^2 \cdot r_{j-1}$ then $C \cdot \ell_{i-1} = C \cdot (C^{-1}AC^{-1} \cdot r_{j-1}) \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Therefore, there is some s such that $\ell_i = A^s C^2 \cdot \ell_{i-1}$. But then $\ell_i = A^s C^2 \cdot (C^{-1}AC^{-1} \cdot r_{j-1}) = A^s CAC^2 \cdot r_{j-1} = A^s C \cdot r_j$. By definition, $A^{-s} \cdot \ell_i \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$, it follows that $C \cdot r_j \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$ and therefore we conclude that $r_{j+1} = A^s C \cdot r_j = \ell_i$.

If $r_j = A^u C^2 \cdot r_{j-1}$ with $u \neq 1$, then $C \cdot \ell_{i-1} = AC^2 \cdot r_{j-1} \notin \mathbb{I}_\alpha$. Therefore, there is some s such that $\ell_i = A^s C \cdot \ell_{i-1}$. We find that $\ell_i = A^s C \cdot (C^{-1}AC^{-1} \cdot r_{j-1}) = A^{s+1}C^2 \cdot r_{j-1}$. We conclude that $u = s + 1$ and $\ell_i = r_j$. □



FIGURE 12. Determining the synchronization interval $[\eta, \zeta)$, when $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}$. Here, $m = 3, n = 3$, and $k = 2$, $v = 1$. The labels L, R mark respectively the curves $y = L_{-2,1} \cdot r_0(\alpha), y = R_{-2,1} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ where $\alpha = x/2 = x/t_{3,3}$. These are $y = \ell_1(\alpha)$ (in blue) and $y = r_2(\alpha)$ (in red) for $G < x < (-1 + \sqrt{21}/2)$. The dotted cyan curve is the image of the left endpoint under C^{-1} (giving the values of $\mathfrak{b}_\alpha$). The x-axis is shown as a dotted line. For $\alpha > \delta = \delta_{-2,1}$, synchronization occurs after an extra step in the orbit of r_0.

The result (ii) below leads to the conclusion that synchronization intervals for large α can be described by the same set of words as for small α.

Lemma 52. *Fix $m = 3$, an interval $[\eta, \zeta]$ of α such that $r_1 = AC^2 \cdot r_0$ holds on this interval, and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that there are matrices R, L, L' (none of which is the identity) such that*

(a) $L = C^{-1}AC^2R$,
(b) $R \cdot r_0 = r_{j-1}$ *and* $L' \cdot \ell_0 = \ell_{i-2}$, *for all* $\alpha \in [\eta, \zeta]$,
(c) $LA \cdot \ell_0 = \ell_{i-1}$ *for all* $\alpha \in [\eta, \zeta)$, *while* $\ell_{i-1}(\zeta) = A^{-1}LA \cdot \ell_0(\zeta) = \ell_0(\zeta)$,
(d) $R \cdot r_0(\eta) = C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\eta)$.

Suppose further that $A^{-k}C \cdot \ell_0(\eta) = \ell_1(\eta)$. Then

(i) $r_j(\eta) = A^{-k}C^2 \cdot r_{j-1}(\eta) = \ell_1(\eta)$;
(ii) $\ell_i(\eta) = A^{-(k+1)}C \cdot \ell_{i-1}(\eta) = \ell_1(\eta)$;
(iii) $r_j(\zeta) = AC^2 \cdot r_{j-1}(\zeta)$ *and* $r_{j+1}(\zeta) = AC \cdot r_j(\zeta) = r_1(\zeta)$.

Proof. The equality $r_{j-1}(\eta) = C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\eta)$ implies that $r_j(\eta) = A^{-k}C^2 \cdot r_{j-1}(\eta) = \ell_1(\eta)$. At $\alpha = \eta$ we also have $r_{j-1} = CA^{-1}C \cdot \ell_{i-1}$, therefore $A^{-k}C^2 \cdot r_{j-1} = A^{-(k+1)}C \cdot \ell_{i-1}$ allows one to easily confirm the admissibility of this expression for $\ell_i(\eta)$, as well as that $\ell_i(\eta) = \ell_1(\eta)$.

Finally, $r_{j-1}(\zeta) > C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\zeta)$ and hence $r_j(\zeta) = A^sC^2 \cdot r_{j-1}(\zeta)$ for some s. This gives $r_j(\zeta) = A^sC^2 \cdot (CA^{-1}C \cdot r_0(\zeta)) = A^{s-1}C \cdot r_0(\zeta)$. But, $r_1 = AC^2 \cdot r_0$ holds throughout this region of large α; in particular, $C \cdot r_0(\alpha) \in \mathbb{I}_\alpha$ here. Therefore, $s = 1$ and $r_j(\zeta) = C \cdot r_0(\zeta)$. It then follows that $r_{j+1}(\zeta) = AC \cdot (C \cdot r_0(\zeta)) = r_1(\zeta)$. □

Definition 53. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be as in the treatment of $\alpha < \gamma_{3,n}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v = c_1d_1 \cdots d_{s-1}c_s \in \mathcal{V}$, we define the following.

(1) The lower (simplified) *digit sequence* of $-k, v$ is

$$\underline{d}(-k, v) = (-k)^{c_1}, (-k-1)^{d_1}, \cdots, (-k-1)^{d_{s-1}}, (-k)^{c_s},$$

(2) The α-*cylinder* of $-k, v$ is

$$\mathscr{I}_{-k,v} = \{\alpha \mid \underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,|v|]} = \underline{d}(-k, v)\}.$$

(3) The *left matrix* of $-k, v$ is

$$L_{-k,v} = (A^{-k}C)^{c_s} (A^{-k-1}C)^{d_{s-1}} (A^{-k}C)^{c_{s-1}} \cdots (A^{-k-1}C)^{d_1} (A^{-k}C)^{c_1} A^{-1}.$$

(4) The *synchronization interval* associated to $-k, v$ is $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v} = [\eta, \zeta)$ where $\eta = \eta_{k,v}$ and $\zeta = \zeta_{k,v}$ are such that

$$L_{-k,v}A \cdot \ell_0(\zeta) = r_0(\zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad CA^{-1}CL_{-k,v} \cdot r_0(\eta) = C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\eta).$$

The following implies that the complement of the union of the $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$ is a Cantor set. This is the main result of this subsection.

Theorem 54. *We have the following partition*

$$[\epsilon_{3,n}, 1) = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{-k,1}.$$

Furthermore, for each $k \geq 2$ and each $v \in \mathcal{V}$, the following is a partition:

$$\mathscr{I}_{-k,v} = \mathscr{J}_{-k,v} \cup \bigcup_{q=q'}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{-k,\Theta_q(v)},$$

where $q' = 0$ unless $v = c_1$, in which case $q' = -1$.

We defer the proof of this result until page 38.

Note that one extends the definition of $\underline{d}(-k, v)$ to infinite words in the obvious fashion.

Lemma 55. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that $\eta_{-k,v}, \zeta_{-k,v} \in \mathscr{I}_{-k,v}$. Then $\underline{d}^{\eta_{-k,v}}_{[1,\infty)} = \underline{d}(-k, v(v')^\infty)$ and $\underline{d}^{\zeta_{-k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}$ is purely periodic of period $\underline{d}(-k, \overleftarrow{v'})$.*

Proof. The result for $\eta_{-k,v}$ follows from Lemma 52 (ii). The definition of $\zeta_{-k,v}$ gives the second result, since $\underline{d}(-k, \overleftarrow{v'}) = (-k)^{c_1}, (-k-1)^{d_1}, \cdots, (-k-1)^{d_{s-1}}, (-k)^{c_s - 1}, (-k-1)$. □

The following is an immediate implication of the definition of the ordering (6).

Lemma 56. *Fix $m = 3$. For any v, w words and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$v \prec w \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \underline{d}(-k, v) \succ \underline{d}(-k, w)\,.$$

Definition 57. Define $\omega_{-k,v}$ such that $\underline{d}^{\omega_{-k,v}}_{[1,\infty)}$ is purely periodic of period $\underline{d}(-k, (\,\mathfrak{f}(v)\,)$, where $\mathfrak{f}(v)$ is the full branched prefix of v.

Lemma 58. *Let $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and fix $k \geq 2$. The α-cylinder set $\mathscr{I}_{-k,v}$ is a subset of $(\omega_{-k,v}, \zeta_{-k,v}]$.*

Proof. The set of α such that $\underline{d}^{\alpha}_{[1,|v|]} = \underline{d}(k, v)$ is contained in the interval $(\omega, \zeta]$ such that $L_{-k,v}A \cdot \ell_0(\omega) = \ell_0(\omega)$ and $L_{-k,v}A \cdot \ell_0(\zeta) = r_0(\zeta)$. The right endpoint here is exactly $\zeta = \zeta_{-k,v}$.

Due to the order reversing relationship between words and simplified digits with $-k < 0$, the proof of Lemma 29 shows that $\omega_{-k,v}$ is a greatest lower bound for $\mathscr{I}_{-k,v}$. □

Lemma 59. *Suppose that $v = \Theta_p(u)$ for some $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and some $p \geq 1$. Fix $k \geq 2$. Then for $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)} = \omega_{-k,\Theta_q(v)}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 35, $\mathfrak{f}(\Theta_q(v)) = \overleftarrow{(\Theta_{q-1}(v)\,)'}$. Now Lemma 55 and the definition of $\omega_{-k,\Theta_q(v)}$ yield the result. □

Lemma 60. *For all $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and all $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_{-k,\Theta_0^h(v)} = \omega_{-k,v}$.*

Proof. Proposition 32 shows that $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_0^h(v)\,) = \mathfrak{f}(v)$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. The result thus holds. □

The following result, and its proof, are completely analogous to Proposition 38 where the case of small α is treated.

Proposition 61. *For all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, and all $k \geq 2$, both*

$$\mathscr{I}_{-k,v} = (\omega_{k,v}, \zeta_{-k,v}] \;\text{ and }\; \mathscr{I}_{-k,v} \supset \mathscr{J}_{-k,v}\,.$$

Proof. Fix k. We argue by induction of the length of the word v. We have already seen that $\eta_{-k,v} \in \mathscr{I}_{-k,v}$ implies $\underline{d}(-k, v(v')^\infty)$ gives the sequence of simplified digits of $\ell_0(\eta_{-k,v})$. The base cases, given by $v = c_1$, are easily verified.

Case 1. Suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(c)$ for some $h \geq 1$ and some $c > 1$. We have $v = c(1\,c)^h$. The argument as for Proposition 38 goes through (compare with the remaining cases).

Case 2. Suppose $v = uau$ in our usual decomposition. Since $\mathfrak{f}(v) = ua$, we have $L_{-k,ua}A \cdot \ell_0(\omega_{-k,v}) = \ell_0(\omega_{-k,v})$. This equality then implies that given N, there are α sufficiently close to, and larger than, $\omega_{-k,v}$ such that $\underline{d}(-k, u(au)^N)$ is admissible for α. It follows that we can partition $\mathscr{I}_{-k,v}$ by subintervals corresponding to the values N. Now, $\Theta_0(v) = vv'' = uauau$, and hence $\Theta_0(v)$ is admissible on $[\omega_{-k,v}, \zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)})$. Similarly, each $\Theta_0^h(v)$ is admissible on $[\omega_{-k,v}, \zeta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)})$. By considering our ordering on words, it is clear that $\zeta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} > \eta_{k,\Theta_0^h(v)} > \omega_{k,v}$.

We now proceed inductively for larger values of q. To begin, the admissibility v on all of $\mathscr{I}_{-k,v}$ and the admissibility of $\Theta_0(v)$ on exactly $[\omega_{-k,v}, \zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)})$ implies that there must be a shortest extension of $v = uau$ admissible for those α immediately to the right of $\zeta_{k,\Theta_0(v)}$. Lemma 59 shows that $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_1(v)\,)$ is this extension. Since $\underline{d}_{[1,\infty]}^{\omega_{-k,\Theta_1(v)}}$ is purely periodic of period $\underline{d}(-k, (\,\mathfrak{f}(\Theta_1(v))\,)$, arbitrarily high powers of this period give admissible expansions for α just to the right of $\omega_{-k,\Theta_1(v)}$. Recall that Proposition 32 shows that *any* $v = uau$ is always a prefix of the square of the corresponding $\mathfrak{f}(v) = ua$; we hence find that all of $\Theta_1(v)$ is admissible on an interval beginning at $\omega_{-k,\Theta_1(v)}$. We iterate this argument for increasing q, to give that for each q, $\Theta_q(v)$ is admissible on $[\zeta_{k,\Theta_q(v)}, \omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)})$.

From Lemmas 37 and 56 we can conclude that $\eta_{-k,\Theta_q(v)} > \omega_{-k,\Theta_q(v)}$. It follows that $\mathscr{J}_{-k,\Theta_q(v)} \subset \mathscr{I}_{-k,\Theta_q(v)}$.

Case 3. As in the proof of Proposition 38, the remaining case is that $v = \Theta_0^h(uau)$. Thus, $v = u(au)^{h+1}$ in our usual decomposition. The right endpoint of $\mathscr{I}_{-k,\Theta_0^{h+1}(uau)}$ is at $\zeta_{-k,u(au)^{h+2}}$. Lemma 55 now yields that the left endpoint of $\mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_0^h(uau)} \setminus \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_0^{h+1}(v)}$ is the point with purely periodic lower simplified digit expansion of period $\underline{d}(k, vu'a)$. In the proof of Proposition 38 we showed that $\mathfrak{f}(\,\Theta_1 \circ \Theta_0^h(u)\,) = vu'a$. Therefore, $\omega_{-k,\Theta_1(v)} = \zeta_{-k,\Theta_0^{h+1}(uau)}$ and also since $\Theta_1(v)$ is a prefix of the square of $vu'a$, it follows that $\overline{d}(-k, \Theta_1(v)\,)$ is admissible on $[\omega_{-k,\Theta_1(v)}, \zeta_{-k,\Theta_1(v)})$. That $\eta_{-k,\Theta_1(v)}$ belongs to this interval is easily shown.

Induction shows the result for $\Theta_q(v)$ when $q \geq 1$. □

We are now ready for the following.

Proof of Theorem 54. That $[\epsilon_{3,n}, 1) = \cup_{k=1}^\infty \mathscr{I}_{-k,1}$ is simply a consequence of the fact that for each α in this range, there is some k such that $T_\alpha(\,\ell_0(\alpha)\,) = A^{-k}C \cdot x$.

Proposition 61 shows that for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathscr{I}_{-k,v} = [\omega_{-k,v}, \zeta_{-k,v})$ can be partitioned by $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v} = [\eta_{-k,v}, \zeta_{-k,v})$ and its complement. Recall Lemma 60 states that for all h, we have $\omega_{-k,\Theta_0^h(v)} = \omega_{-k,v}$. By Lemma 59 (and the complementary results in the proof of Proposition 61), for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\omega_{k,\Theta_q(v)} = \zeta_{k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}$. Therefore, $\cup_{q=0}^\infty \mathscr{I}_{-k,\Theta_q(v)}$ is a subinterval of $\mathscr{I}_{-k,v} \setminus \mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$ which has $\omega_{-k,v}$ as its left endpoint. Finally, the definition of $\Theta_q(v)$ combined with Lemma 55 shows that $\lim_{q\to\infty} \zeta_{k,\Theta_q(v)} = \eta_{k,v}$. Therefore, the right endpoint of the union is in fact the left endpoint of $\mathscr{J}_{k,v}$. □

6.2. **Synchronization holds on a set of full measure.**

6.2.1. *Right digits are admissible; synchronization occurs on each* $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$. We now define $R_{-k,v}$ exactly so that the group identity of Proposition 72, below, gives that $L_{-k,v} = C^{-1}AC^2R_{-k,v}$, and thus the main hypothesis of Lemma 52 is satisfied. That synchronization does occur along $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$ is then only a matter of showing that $R_{k,v} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$ is admissible at all $\alpha \in \mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$.

For further ease, we set

$$u = u_{3,n} = (1,2)^{n-2},(1,1).$$

Note that the length of u is $|u| = n-1$. As α tends to one, $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$ begins with ever higher powers of u, compare with Proposition 7.

For typographic ease, for $k \geq 2$ let

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_k = (1,1)u^{k-2}(1,2)^{n-3},\ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_k = (1,1)u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3} \text{ and } \mathcal{G} = (1,2)(1,1)(1,2)^{n-3}\,.$$

Note that $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{E}_{k+1} = \mathcal{F}_k$. We also use that $u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3} = [(1,2)^{n-2},(1,1)]^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3} = (1,2)^{n-2},(1,1)[(1,2)^{n-2},(1,1)]^{k-2}(1,2)^{n-3} = (1,2)^{n-2}\mathcal{E}$.

We accordingly let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k = (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC,\ \ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k = (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}AC \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = (AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2.$$

Definition 62. Suppose that $v = c_1d_1\cdots c_s \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \geq 2$.

(1) The upper digit sequence of $-k, v$ is

$$\overline{b}(-k,v) = (1,2)^{n-2}\mathcal{E}^{c_1}\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s},$$

whose length is denoted

(2) $\overline{S}(-k,v) = |\,\overline{b}(-k,v)\,|$

(3) The *right matrix* of $-k, v$ is

$$R_{-k,v} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{c_s}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{d_{s-1}}\,\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{c_{s-1}}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{d_{s-2}}\cdots\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{c_2}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{d_1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{c_1}(AC^2)^{n-2}.$$

Note that Proposition 72, below, implies that $L_{-k,v} = C^{-1}AC^2\,R_{-k,v}$.

We will prove admissibility of $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ on $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$ by induction, similar to our proof of admissibility of $\underline{d}(k,v)$ on $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$. However, the role of the various $\eta_{k,v}$ will now be played by certain points lying to the left of the corresponding $\eta_{-k,v}$, the $\beta_{-k,v,N}$ introduced in the next statement.

Lemma 63. *Let $v = c_1d_1\cdots c_s \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ is admissible on $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$. Set $\zeta = \zeta_{-k,v}$ and $\eta = \eta_{-k,v}$. Then for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\beta_{-k,v,N}$ less than η such that*

$$\overline{b}^{\beta_{-k,v,N}}_{[1,(1+\overline{S})N\,]} = [\,\overline{b}(-k,v)(1,1)\,]^N.$$

Furthermore,

$$\overline{b}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)} = \begin{cases} \overline{b}(-k,v),\overline{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}^{c_1}} & \text{if } v = c_1, \\ \\ \overline{b}(-k,(\overleftarrow{v'})^{\infty}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The definition of $\eta_{-k,v}$ shows that $ACR_{-k,v}$ fixes $r_0(\eta_{-k,v})$. Therefore, for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\alpha = \alpha'_N < \eta_{-k,v}$ and sufficiently close, with $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,(1+\overline{S})N\,]} = [\,\overline{b}(-k,v)(1,1)\,]^N$.

Lemma 52, (iii) yields $\overline{b}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{b}(-k,v),(1,2)(1,1)\overline{b}^{\zeta}_{[2,\infty)}$. This sequence is of course periodic. The prefix $u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}$ of $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ can be rewritten as $(1,2)^{n-2}(1,1)u^{k-2}(1,2)^{n-3}$, thus $(1,2)(1,1)\overline{b}^{\zeta}_{[2,\infty)}$ has the prefix $(1,2)(1,1)(1,2)^{n-3}(1,1)u^{k-2}(1,2)^{n-3} = \mathcal{G}\,\mathcal{E}$. This prefix is followed by the complement of the prefix $u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}$ of $\overline{b}(-k,v)$. The case of $v = c_1$ is now easily verified.

For general v, $\overline{b}^{\zeta}_{[1,\infty)} = \overline{b}(-k,v), \overline{\mathcal{G}\,\mathcal{E}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_1-1}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s}}$. This last equals $\overline{b}(-k,(c_1d_1\cdots d_{s-1}(c_s-1)1)^\infty)$. Since v is a palindrome, this in turn equals $\overline{b}(-k,(c_sd_{s-1}\cdots d_1(c_1-1)1)^\infty)$. Since $c_sd_{s-1}\cdots d_1(c_1-1)1 = \overleftarrow{v'}$, the result holds. □

Lemma 64. *Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le i < |u|$. If there is some $\alpha \ge \epsilon_{3,n}$ such that $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,j|u|+i]} = u^j u_{[1,i]}$, then $\overline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,j|u|+i]} = u^j u_{[1,i]}$ for all $\alpha' > \alpha$.*

Proof. (Of course, if $i = 0$, then $w_{[1,i]}$ is the empty word.) Using Proposition 7 with Lemma 5, one has that all powers of U are admissible when $\alpha = 1$, there are thus branches of digits corresponding to each power and appropriate suffix of U that continue to the left from $\alpha = 1$. For any of these, admissibility at any given α thus guarantees admissibility at each $\alpha' \ge \alpha$. □

Lemma 65. *Fix $k \ge 2$. We have*

$$\overline{b}^{\omega_{-k,1}}_{[1,\infty)} = u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}\,\overline{\mathcal{E}}\,.$$

Furthermore, the digits $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,n-3+(k-1)|u|\,]} = u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}$ are admissible for all $\alpha \ge \omega_{k,1}$.

Proof. We first give one proof for $k \ge 3$. Since $\mathfrak{f}(1) = 1$, $\zeta_{-k,1} = \omega_{-(k+1),1}$. From

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{b}^{\zeta_{-k,1}}_{[1,\infty)} &= u^{k-1}, (1,2)^{n-3}\,\overline{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}_k} \\ &= u^{k-1}, (1,2)^{n-3}\mathcal{G}\,\overline{\mathcal{E}_k\mathcal{G}} \\ &= u^{k}(1,2)^{n-3}\,\overline{\mathcal{E}_{k+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

The following works for all k. For typographical ease, let $\omega = \omega_{-k,1}$. Since $\mathfrak{f}(1) = 1$, by definition, $A^{-k}C \cdot \ell_0(\omega) = \ell_0(\omega)$. By Lemma 71, $CA^{-1}CA^{-k}CA^{-1} = (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}$. By Lemma 65, $(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1} \cdot r_0(\omega)$ is an admissible expansion if it has value in $\mathbb{I}_\omega$.

For *any* α, $CA^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0(\alpha) = CA^{-1}CA^{-1} \cdot r_0(\alpha) = (AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\alpha)$. Hence, $(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1} \cdot r_0(\omega) = CA^{-1}CA^{-k}CA^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\omega) = CA^{-1}CA^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\omega) = (AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\omega)$. Since $(AC^2)^{n-2}$ is a suffix of U, we have that $(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\omega)$ is admissible. In particular it has value in $\mathbb{I}_\omega$. It follows that $(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1} \cdot r_0(\omega)$ is an admissible expansion. Furthermore, the equality $(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\omega) = (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\omega)$ shows that $(AC^2)^{n-2} \cdot r_0(\omega)$ is fixed by $(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC$. Therefore,

$$\overline{b}^{\omega_{-k,1}}_{[1,\infty)} = (1,2)^{n-2}\overline{(1,1)u^{k-2}(1,2)^{n-3}} = u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}\overline{(1,1)u^{k-2}(1,2)^{n-3}} = u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}\,\overline{\mathcal{E}}\,.$$

Lemma 64 yields that the digits $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,n-3+(k-1)|u|\,]} = u^{k-1}(1,2)^{n-3}$ are admissible for all $\alpha \ge \omega_{-k,1}$. □

Proposition 66. *Suppose that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $k \ge 2$. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$,*

$$\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,\overline{S}\,]} = \overline{b}(-k,v)\,.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5, for each v it suffices to find $\alpha' < \eta_{-k,v}$ and $\alpha'' > \zeta_{-k,v}$ such that $\overline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,\overline{S}\,]} = \overline{b}^{\alpha''}_{[1,\overline{S}\,]} = \overline{b}(-k,v)$. See Table 4 for a summary of the choices of α', α'' in the various cases.

Since α' is always of the general form $\beta_{-k,u,N}$, we note immediately that the identity $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}$ yields

	v	α'	α''
Base cases	1	1	$\omega_{-k,1}$
	$c>1$	$\beta_{-k,c-1,2}$	$\omega_{-k,1}$
	$c\,1\,c, c\geq 1$	$\beta_{-k,c,3}$	$\zeta_{-k,c+1}$
	$\Theta_q(c), q\geq 2$	$\beta_{-k,c,q+3}$	$\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{q-1}(c)}$
	$\Theta_1(c), c>1$	$\beta_{-k,c,4}$	$\zeta_{-k,\Theta_0(c)}$
Case 1	$\Theta_0^h(c)$	$\beta_{-k,\Theta_0^{h-1}(c),2}$	$\zeta_{-k,c+1}$
Case 2	$\Theta_q\circ\Theta_p(u),\ p,q\geq 1$	$\beta_{-k,\Theta_p(u),q+3}$	$\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{q-1}\circ\Theta_p(u)}$
Case 3	$\Theta_0^h\circ\Theta_p(u),\ p\geq 1$	$\beta_{-k,\Theta_0^{h-1}\circ\Theta_p(u),3}$	$\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{p-1}(u)}$

TABLE 4. Admissibility of $\overline{d}(-k,v)$ on $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$ is shown by finding $\alpha'>\zeta_{-k,v}>\eta_{-k,v}>\alpha''$ such that $\overline{b}^{\alpha}_{[1,\infty)}$ has prefix $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ for both $\alpha=\alpha',\alpha''$. See the proof of Proposition 66. Compare with Table 3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\,\overline{b}(-k,v)(1,1)\,]^N\\
&\quad=\overline{b}(-k,v)[\mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}^{c_1-1}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s}]^{N-1}(1,1)\\
&\quad=\overline{b}(-k,v)[\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}^{c_1-1}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s}]^{N-1}(1,1)\\
&\quad=\overline{b}(-k,v)\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}^{c_1-1}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s+1}]^{N-2}\\
&\qquad\quad\cdot\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}^{c_1-1}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s}(1,1)\\
&\quad=\overline{b}'(-k,v(v')^{N-2})X,
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

where $X=\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}^{c_1-1}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_2}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_2}\cdots\mathcal{E}^{c_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_{s-1}}\,\mathcal{E}^{c_s}(1,1)$ and we admit to our abuse of notation by writing $\overline{b}'$.

Base cases. Consider $v=c_1=c$. Since $\overline{b}^{\omega_{-k,1}}_{[1,\infty)}$ has $\overline{b}(-k,1)$ as a prefix, Lemma 64 yields the result when $v=1$. From (15), one finds that for $c\geq 2$, $\overline{b}(-k,c)$ is a prefix of $[\overline{b}(-k,c-1)(1,1)]^2$, which in turn is a prefix of $\overline{b}^{\beta_{-k,c-1,2}}_{[1,\infty)}$. Lemma 65 shows that also the right digit sequence of $\omega_{-k,1}$ has each $\overline{b}(-k,c)$ as a prefix. Therefore, the result holds for all v of length one.

When $v=c\,1\,c$, we use $\alpha'=\beta_{-k,c,3}$, and $\alpha''=\zeta_{-k,c+1}$. Since $\overline{b}(-k,v)=u^{k-1},(1,2)^{n-3}\,(\mathcal{E}^c\mathcal{G})^1\,\mathcal{E}^c$, (15) leads to $[\,\overline{b}(-k,v)(1,1)\,]^3$ having $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ as a prefix. Lemma 63 yields that $\overline{b}^{\zeta_{-k,c+1}}_{[1,\infty)}$ also has $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ as a prefix.

Suppose $v=\Theta_q(c), q\geq 1$ and $c>1$. Thus, $\overline{b}(-k,v)=u^{k-1},(1,2)^{n-3}\,(\mathcal{E}^c\mathcal{G})^{q+1}\,\mathcal{E}^c$. We set $\alpha'=\beta_{-k,c,N}$, with $N=q+2$. Using (15), one shows that $\overline{b}^{\alpha'}_{[1,(1+\overline{S})N\,]}$ has $\overline{b}(-k,v)$ as a prefix. We set $\alpha''=\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{q-1}(c)}$. Lemma 63 yields that $\overline{b}^{\alpha''}_{[1,\infty)}$ has prefix $\overline{b}(-k,\Theta_{q-1}(c))\,\mathcal{G}\mathcal{E}^{c+1}$. The result thus holds in this case. Since $c=1$ gives $\overline{b}(-k,v)=u^{k-1},(1,2)^{n-3}\,\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}^{q-1}\,\mathcal{E}$, again using (15) shows that the same argument succeeds.

Case 1. Suppose $v = \Theta_0^h(c), h \geq 2$ for some $c > 1$. We have $v = c(1\,c)^h$ and thus $\overline{b}(-k, v) = u^{k-1}, (1,2)^{n-3}\,\mathcal{E}^c\mathcal{G}\,(\mathcal{E}^{c+1}\mathcal{G})^{h-1}\,\mathcal{E}^c$. Lemma 63 easily yields that $\overline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{-k,c+1}}$ has $\overline{b}(-k, v)$ as a prefix. That is, we can take $\alpha'' = \zeta_{-k,c+1}$. With $\alpha' = \beta_{-k,\Theta_0^{h-1}(c),2}$ from (15) one finds that $\overline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha'}$ also has $\overline{b}(-k, v)$ as a prefix.

Case 2. Suppose that the result holds for $v = uau = \Theta_p(u)$ with $u \in \mathcal{V}$ and $p \geq 1$. We prove that the result holds for $\Theta_q(v), q \geq 1$. By Lemma 63, for general k and v, one has $\overline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{-k,v}} = \overline{b}(-k, (\overleftarrow{v'})^\infty)$, and thus (13) gives $\overline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}} = \overline{b}(-k, v\,[\,(v')^q v''\,]^\infty)$, which clearly has $\overline{b}(-k, \Theta_q(v)\,)$ as a prefix. Therefore, we can take $\alpha'' = \zeta_{-k,\Theta_{q-1}(v)}$.

We first specialize to $c > 1$, that $v' = a\overleftarrow{u'}u = au_{[-1]}(c_1 - 1)\,1\,u$, $v'' = au$ and that u both begins and ends with the letter c_1. Since terms in general $\overline{b}(-k, v)$ corresponding to any $d_j = 1$ vanish, a final v' appearing inside of $\overline{b}'$ in (15) has a prefix coming from v''. From this, one finds that $[\overline{b}(-k, v)(1,1)]^{q+3}$ has $\overline{b}(-k, \Theta_q(v)\,)$ as a prefix. That is, we can take $\alpha' = \beta_{-k,v,q+3}$.

We now consider the case of $c = 1$, where $v' = au_{[-2]}(d_1 + 1)$ and $v'' = au$. In (15) we can let the first $\mathcal{F}^{d_1}$ corresponding to the final power of v' revert to $\mathcal{E}\,\mathcal{G}\,\mathcal{F}^{d_1-1}$ so as to confirm that here also a final v' contributes a subword that has as a prefix the contribution of v''. Using this, $[\overline{b}(-k, v)(1,1)\,]^{q+3}$ has $\overline{b}(-k, \Theta_q(v)\,)$ as a prefix. That is, we can take $\alpha' = \beta_{-k,v,q+3}$.

Case 3. Suppose that $\Theta_p(u) = uau$ in our usual notation. Recall that $\Theta_0^h \circ \Theta_p(u) = u\,[(u')^p u'']^{h+1}$. As in Case 2, Lemma 63 and (13) give $\overline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\zeta_{-k,\Theta_{p-1}(u)}} = \overline{b}(-k, u\,[\,(u')^p u''\,]^\infty)$. Thus, we use $\alpha'' = \zeta_{-k,\Theta_{p-1}(u)}$. From (14) and (15), we can take $\alpha' = \beta_{-k,\Theta_0^{h-1}\circ\Theta_p(u),3}$. □

6.2.2. *There are no other points of synchronization.* That all $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}$ for which there is synchronization lie in the union of the $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$ is shown as for the case of small α. We find that there is some sequence of q_i such that $\alpha \in \cap_{j=1}^\infty \mathscr{I}_{k,\Theta_{q_j}\circ\cdots\circ\Theta_{q_1}(1)}$. But, this implies that $\underline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ has digits only in $\{(-k, 1), (-k-1, 1)\}$, while $\overline{b}_{[1,\infty)}^{\alpha}$ has digits only in $\{(-1, 1), (-2, 1), (1, 2)\}$, with the digit $(1, 2)$ appearing infinitely often. Therefore, the two orbits obviously cannot synchronize.

6.2.3. *The non-synchronization set is of measure zero.* For $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}$ not in any $\mathscr{J}_{-k,v}$, there is some k such that the T_α-orbit of $\ell_0(\alpha)$ is always in the set of points whose simplified digits are $-k$ or $-k-1$. In particular, this orbit certainly remains in $[-t, 0)$ and we can argue as in Subsection 4.6 to conclude that the set of these α values has measure zero.

7. Synchronization for $\gamma_{3,n} < \alpha < \epsilon_{3,n}$, $n \geq m$

Recall that $\gamma = \gamma_{3,n}$ is characterized by $C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\gamma) = r_0(\gamma)$. Recall also that $\ell_1 = A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0$ for all $\alpha \in [0, \epsilon_{3,n})$. Thus, for $\gamma_{3,n} < \alpha < \epsilon_{3,n}$ we use the notation of Section 6, but now with $k = 1$ (and certain further technical adjustments as noted below).

Theorem 67. *Fix $m = 3$ and $n \geq m$. If $n = 3$, then there is synchronization for all $\alpha \in (\gamma_{3,3}, \epsilon_{3,3})$. If $n > 3$, then the set of $\alpha \in (\gamma_{3,n}, \epsilon_{3,n})$ for which there is not synchronization is uncountable, but of Lebesgue measure zero.*

For $n \geq 3$, define $\check{\mathcal{V}}_n \subset \mathcal{V}$ to be the trimming of $\mathcal{V}$ such that for all $v = c_1 d_1 \cdots c_s \in \check{\mathcal{V}}_n$, $c_i \leq n-2$ and furthermore such that the only word with prefix $n-2$ is $v = n-2$ itself. Define each $\mathscr{I}_{-1,c_1}$ to be as above, except that we insist on a left endpoint at least γ.

Theorem 68. *Fix $m = 3$ and $n \geq m$. We have the following equality*

$$[\gamma_{3,n}, \epsilon_{3,n}) = \bigcup_{v=1}^{n-2} \mathscr{I}_{-1,v} \, .$$

Furthermore, for each $v \in \check{\mathcal{V}}_n \setminus \{n-2\}$, the following is a partition:

$$\mathscr{I}_{-1,v} = \mathscr{J}_{-1,v} \cup \bigcup_{q=q'}^{\infty} \mathscr{I}_{-1,\Theta_q(v)} \, ,$$

where $q' = 0$ unless $v = c_1$, in which case $q' = -1$. Moreover, $\mathscr{I}_{-1,n-2} = \mathscr{J}_{-1,n-2} = [\gamma_{3,n}, \zeta_{-1,n-2})$.

Proof. Note that for $\alpha = \zeta_{-1,1}$, we have $A^{-1}C \cdot \ell_0(\alpha) = r_0(\alpha)$, which is exactly the definition of $\alpha = \epsilon_{3,n}$. Arguing as we did for $\alpha > \epsilon_{3,n}$ shows that first equality of the theorem, giving the basic partition, holds. Similarly, that $\mathscr{J}_{-1,v}$ with the union of the $\mathscr{I}_{-1,\Theta_q(v)}$ partition $\mathscr{I}_{-1,v}$ holds since the proof of Theorem 54 is easily checked to extend to this case.

Recall that $\eta_{-k,v}$ is such that $R_{-k,v} \cdot r_0(\eta) = C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\eta)$, and thus $\eta_{-1,n-2}$ is such that $r_0(\eta_{-1,n-2}) = C^{-1} \cdot \ell_0(\eta_{-1,n-2})$. That is, $\eta_{-1,n-2} = \gamma_{3,n}$. The statement $\mathscr{I}_{-1,n-2} = \mathscr{J}_{-1,n-2} = [\gamma_{3,n}, \zeta_{-1,n-2})$ thus follows. □

Proposition 69. *Fix $m = 3$ and $n \geq m$. For all $v \in \check{V}_n$, synchronization occurs along $\mathscr{J}_{-1,v}$.*

Sketch. Here also the arguments of the previous section give the proof, however we must make minor adjustments. Note that $U^{-1} = [AC(AC^2)^{n-2}]^{-1} = (AC^2)^{2-n}(AC)^{-1}$. Thus in this setting of $k = 1$, any term of the form $[(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^a$ as in the statements of Lemma 71 and Proposition 72 becomes $[(AC^2)^{-1}]^a$. Note that each such term is followed by an appearance of $(AC^2)^{n-3}$; since each exponent a will arise as either c_j or $c_j + 1$ for some c_j letter of some $v \in \check{V}$, we have $a \leq n-2$. Since an exponent of the form $c_j + 1$ occurs only when the block is also preceeded by an occurrence of AC^2, the identity guarantees an expression that has only positive powers of AC and of AC^2. (Example 70 exhibits this phenomenon.) Thus our definition of $R_{-k,v}$ extends to include the case of $d = -1$. Similarly, $\mathcal{E}_1$ must now denote $(1,2)^{-1}$ where we recognize that occurrences of $\mathcal{E}_1$ are surrounded by $(1,2)$ occurring to a sufficiently high power so that the usual arithmetic of exponents results in a sensible word. Note that the key relation $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$ thus holds in this setting. □

Finally, both that synchronization only occurs along the $\mathscr{J}_{-1,v}$ and that this is a set of full measure follow from the arguments of the previous section.

Example 70. Note first that $R_{-1,n-2} = CA^{-1}C\,(A^{-1}C)^{n-2}A^{-1} = Id$ holds for all $n \geq 3$. We also have $R_{-1,1} = (AC^2)^{-1} \cdot (AC^2)^{n-2} = (AC^2)^{n-3}$. Note that these calculations agree when $n = 3$. Let $n > 3$ and let us calculate one longer right matrix:

$$R_{-1,111} = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(AC^2)^{n-2} = (AC^2)^{-1} \cdot (AC^2)^{n-3}AC \cdot (AC^2)^{-1} \cdot (AC^2)^{n-2} = (AC^2)^{n-4}AC(AC^2)^{n-3}.$$

8. Group element identities for the setting $\alpha > \gamma_{3,n}$

Analagously to Section 5, we gather group identities used in the preceding two sections, here in this section.

Lemma 71. *Suppose that $m = 3$, and $k, a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$CA^{-1}C(A^{-k}C)^aA^{-1} = [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a-1}(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1} \, .$$

Proof. We first prove the result when $a = 1$. As a base case, we consider $k = 1$. Since $C = AB$, CA^{-1} is a conjugate of B and hence has order n. Therefore, $CA^{-1}C\,A^{-1}C\,A^{-1} = (AC^{-1})^{n-3} = (AC^2)^{n-3}$. Now suppose that the identity holds for $a = 1$ and a value of k. Then

$$\begin{aligned} CA^{-1}C(A^{-k-1}C)A^{-1} &= CA^{-1}C(A^{-k}C)A^{-1}\ AC^{-1}\ A^{-1}CA^{-1} \\ &= (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}\ AC^{-1}A^{-1}CA^{-1} \\ &= (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k}, \end{aligned}$$

since $U = AC(AC^2)^{n-2} = AC(CA^{-1})^2 = AC^2A^{-1}CA^{-1} = AC^{-1}A^{-1}CA^{-1}$.

The proof of the result for general a has an induction step as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} CA^{-1}C(A^{-k}C)^{a+1}A^{-1} &= CA^{-1}C(A^{-k}C)^{a}A^{-1}\ A^{-k+1}CA^{-1} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a-1}(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}\ A^{-k+1}CA^{-1} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-2}\ (C^{-1}AC^{-1}\ CA^{-1}C)\ A^{-k+1}CA^{-1} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-2}C^{-1}AC^2\ (AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-3}AC(AC^2)^{n-2}U^{k-2} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 72. *Fix $m = 3$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 1$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_{s-1} \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &CA^{-1}C\ (A^{-k}C)^{a_s}\ (A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b_{s-1}}\ (A^{-k}C)^{a_{s-1}}\ \cdots (A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b_1}\ (A^{-k}C)^{a_1}A^{-1} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a_s}\ \ [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}AC]^{b_{s-1}-1}(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2 \\ &\quad \cdot [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{1+a_{s-1}}\ \ [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}AC]^{b_{s-2}-1}(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2 \\ &\qquad\qquad \vdots \\ &\quad \cdot [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{1+a_2}\ \ [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}AC]^{b_1-1}(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2 \\ &\quad \cdot\ \ [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a_1}(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that we can rewrite the result of Lemma 71 as

$$CA^{-1}C\,(A^{-k}C)^{a}A^{-1} = [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-2}.$$

Therefore, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &CA^{-1}C\ (A^{-k}C)^{a}\,(A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b} = CAC^{-1}\ (A^{-k}C)^{a}\,A^{-1}\cdot A^{-k}C(A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b-1} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-2}\ (C^{-1}AC^2\cdot CA^{-1}C)\ A^{-k}CA^{-1}\cdot A^{-k}C(A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b-2} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2\cdot(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}\cdot A^{-k}C(A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b-2} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2\cdot(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2 \\ &\qquad \cdot CA^{-1}C\ A^{-k}C(A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b-2} \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-1}AC(AC^2)^{n-3}ACAC^2\cdot CA^{-1}C\ A^{-k}C(A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b-2} \\ &\ \vdots \\ &= [(AC^2)^{n-3}U^{k-2}AC]^{a}(AC^2)^{n-3}\ [U^{k-1}AC(AC^2)^{n-3}]^{b-1}ACAC^2\ \cdot CA^{-1}CA^{-k}C. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows by applying this repeatedly, beginning with $CA^{-1}C\ (A^{-k}C)^{a_s}\ (A^{-(k+1)}C)^{b_{s-1}}$, along with a final application of Lemma 71 to the resulting final term. □

9. To be continued

As already mentioned, in work in progress we apply the results of this paper to determine the natural extensions of the $T_{3,n,\alpha}$ as well the entropy functions $\alpha \mapsto h(T_{3,n,\alpha})$.

References

[BCIT] C. Bonanno, C. Carminato, S. Isola and G. Tiozzo, *Dynamics of continued fractions and kneading sequences of unimodal maps*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 33 (2013), no. 4, 1313–1332.

[CS] K. Calta and T. A. Schmidt, *Continued fractions for a class of triangle groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 93 (2012) 21–42.

[CT] C. Carminati and G. Tiozzo, *A canonical thickening of $\mathbb{Q}$ and the entropy of α-continued fraction transformations*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 32 (2012), no. 4, 1249–1269.

[CT2] ———, *Tuning and plateaux for the entropy of α-continued fractions*, Nonlinearity 26 (2013), no. 4, 1049–1070.

[CIT] C. Carminati, S. Isola and G. Tiozzo, *Continued fractions with $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-branches: combinatorics and entropy*, Preprint: arXiv:1312.6845

[DKS] K. Dajani, C. Kraaikamp, W. Steiner, *Metrical theory for α-Rosen fractions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 11 (2009), no. 6, 1259–1283.

[KU] S. Katok, I. Ugarcovici, *Theory of (a,b)-continued fraction transformations and applications*, Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 17 (2010), 20–33.

[K] C. Kraaikamp, *A new class of continued fraction expansions*, Acta Arith. 57 (1991), no. 1, 1–39.

[KSSm] C. Kraaikamp, T. A. Schmidt and I. Smeets, *Natural extensions for α-Rosen continued fractions*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 62 (2010), no. 2, 649–671.

[KSS] C. Kraaikamp, T. A. Schmidt and W. Steiner, *Natural extensions and entropy of α-continued fractions*, Nonlinearity 25 (2012), no. 8, 2207–2243.

[LM] L. Luzzi and S. Marmi, *On the entropy of Japanese continued fractions*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **20** (2008), no. 3, 673–711.

[MCM] P. Moussa, A. Cassa, and S. Marmi, *Continued fractions and Bruno functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **105** (1995), no. 3, 403–415.

[N] H. Nakada, *Metrical theory for a class of continued fraction transformations and their natural extensions*, Tokyo J. Math. 4 (1981), 399-426.

[NN] H. Nakada, and R. Natsui, *The non-monotonicity of the entropy of α-continued fraction transformations*, Nonlinearity **21** (2008), no. 6, 1207–1225.

[T] G. Tiozzo, *Entropy, dimension and combinatorial moduli for one-dimensional dynamical systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013.

Vassar College
E-mail address: kacalta@vassar.edu

Technische Universiteit Delft and Thomas Stieltjes Institute of Mathematics, EWI, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD Delft, the Netherlands
E-mail address: c.kraaikamp@tudelft.nl

Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331
E-mail address: toms@math.orst.edu